

**Bottom Ash Pond  
Initial Safety Factor Assessment  
and H&H Analysis  
General James Gavin Power Plant  
Cheshire, Ohio  
S&ME Project No. 7217-15-006A**



Prepared for:  
American Electric Power  
1 Riverside Plaza, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Prepared by:  
S&ME, Inc.  
6190 Enterprise Court  
Dublin, OH 43016

December 30, 2015



---

## Table of Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background .....	1
1.2	Location and Geologic Conditions.....	1
1.3	Previous Investigations.....	2
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Scope of Work .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Information Review and Site Visit .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Hydrologic and Hydraulic Study .....</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Records Review and Data Collection.....	4
4.2	Elevation Datum Conversion.....	4
4.3	Hydrologic Routing.....	5
4.4	Hydraulic Routing.....	5
4.4.1	<i>Scenario 1 - Normal Pool with active spillways during 100% PMP event .....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.4.2	<i>Scenario 2 - Normal Pool with inoperable spillways during 100% PMP event .....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.4.2.1	2A - Main Pond .....	6
4.4.2.2	2B - Reclamation Pond .....	6
4.4.2.3	2C - Pond Complex .....	6
4.5	Discussion.....	6
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Safety Factor Assessment.....</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Limit Equilibrium Analyses .....	7
5.2	Liquefaction Potential of Embankment Soils.....	8
5.3	Summary of Results .....	8
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Certification .....</b>	<b>9</b>



## List of Figures

Figure 1-1 – Gavin Plant.....2

## List of Tables

Table 4-1 Hydrologic Routing Summary .....5  
Table 4-2 Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 1 .....5  
Table 4-3 Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 2 .....6  
Table 5-1 - Shear Strength Parameters .....8  
Table 5-2 – Safety Factor Summary .....9

## Appendices

- Appendix I – H&H Analysis
- Appendix II – 2009/2010 Site Investigation Figures
- Appendix III – 2009/2010 Laboratory Testing Results
- Appendix IV – Shear Strength Parameter Justification
- Appendix V - Limit Equilibrium & Liquefaction Analysis

## 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

In April of 2015, the US EPA formally published national regulations for disposal of coal combustion residuals (CCR) from electric facilities. As part of the rule, the owner or operator of the CCR unit must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that aspects of the CCR impoundments are in accordance with the rules. Based on our understanding of the Request for Fee Estimate received from AEP on April 29, 2015, AEP specifically requested P.E. certification to fulfill the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.73(e), *Periodic Safety Factor Assessments*. In the employment of BBC&M Engineering, Inc., the undersigned engineers conducted site investigations at the bottom ash pond in 2009 and 2010. Due to our familiarity with the site, S&ME was selected to perform the Safety Factor Assessment for this facility. Additionally, since the bottom ash pond has not had a recent hydrologic and hydraulic (H&H) analysis performed, S&ME was also tasked to conduct an H&H analysis to fulfill the requirements 40 CFR § 257.73 (d) (1) (v) (B). S&ME understands that certification and/or documentation for other structural integrity criteria will be performed by AEP or other consultants.

### 1.2 Location and Geologic Conditions

The Gavin Power Plant, as shown in Figure 1-1, is located along the Ohio River, approximately 10 miles north of Gallipolis, Ohio. The bottom ash pond, which was put into service in 1974, is located immediately south of the generating plant and consists of a four-sided upground earthen embankment structure. Within the pond is a smaller, non-structural, embankment separating the main pond from the recirculation pond. The total length of the exterior embankment is 6550 feet and the embankment varies in height, as measured above the exterior grade, from 28 to 39 feet. The pond is completely isolated from exterior surface water inflow. The original construction drawings indicated that the inboard and outboard slopes were designed with 2H:1V slope angles. Survey data taken at the boring locations reveal a range of outboard slope angles from 1.8H:1V to 2.2H:1V. The embankment was constructed as a homogenous dam.

**Figure 1-1 – Gavin Plant**

The natural soils at the site consist of a layer of alluvium silt, clay and fine sand over glacial outwash deposits of variable thickness overlying the bedrock surface. The alluvium clays and silts were deposited in the backwater of the Ohio River, while the outwash materials typically consist of sand, gravel and silt deposits deposited during the last ice age. Based on available geologic literature, the glacial outwash extends to the bedrock surface, estimated to be roughly 60 feet below the natural ground surface.

### **1.3 Previous Investigations**

In 2009, the undersigned engineers, when in the employment of BBC&M Engineering, Inc., completed a subsurface investigation and geotechnical assessment of the Bottom Ash Pond embankments. This assessment, dated June 16, 2009, concluded that the embankment exhibited adequate factors of safety against slope failure under steady-state seepage and seismic loading conditions relative to typical US Army Corps of Engineers requirements. In 2010, BBC&M Engineering, Inc. performed additional

geotechnical analyses. As part of this work, the initial exploration was supplemented with additional borings and laboratory testing, and the updated slope stability analyses were updated and additional failure modes were examined, including rapid drawdown. A report documenting the additional geotechnical analysis, dated April 26, 2010, was submitted as an addendum to the 2009 report.

## 2.0 Scope of Work

In accordance with AEP's request, the following work items were performed by S&ME:

1. S&ME completed a cursory review of previously conducted assessment work performed by the undersigned engineers, as well as a limited number of construction documents made available by AEP.
2. S&ME visited the site along with personnel from AEP. The site visit was not a formal inspection, but rather served to verify that no significant modifications or changed conditions have taken place since the previous investigations.
3. Hydrologic and Hydraulic (H&H) analysis: An H&H analysis was performed to fulfill the requirements of Part 257.73 (d) (1) (v) (B).
4. Upon completing Tasks 1 through 3, S&ME's determined that there was sufficient information to certify the structural integrity of the surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.73(e). A separate letter has been prepared to this effect.

## 3.0 Information Review and Site Visit

To support the safety factor assessment and hydrology and hydraulic analyses, S&ME conducted a cursory review of previous documents relating to the bottom ash pond and conducted a site visit at the facility. AEP provided S&ME with the following documents:

- ◆ Grading and Fence Plan, 1974 (Dr. No. 12-014-9)
- ◆ Excavation Plan, Not dated (Dr. No. MHD-SK-012887)
- ◆ Sections, 1971 (Dr. No. 12-3015-3)
- ◆ Topographic survey data generated from (year) LiDAR information
- ◆ Principal Spillway conduit and Impact Basin, 1973 (DWG No. 670 C 205 R1)
- ◆ Principal Spillway Plan and Sections, 1973 (DWG No. 670 C 201 R2)
- ◆ Principal Spillway Floating Platform and Skimmer, 1973 (DWG No. 670 C206)
- ◆ Reclaim Pond Outlet Structure – Plan and Profile, 1994 (DWG No. 12-30408-2)
- ◆ Modification of Bottom Ash Complex Pond & Outfall Pipe, 1994 (DWG 12-30401-2)
- ◆ Bottom Ash Pond Complex Pond Outfall – Plan and Profile, 1994 (DWG 12-30407-1)
- ◆ Bottom Ash Pond Investigation, BBC&M Engineering, Inc., July, 2009
- ◆ Assessment of Dam Safety Final Report, Clough Harbour, & Assoc., September, 2009
- ◆ Addendum to Bottom Ash Pond Investigation, BBC&M Engineer, Inc. April, 2010

On July 28, 2015, the undersigned S&ME personnel met with Mr. Shah Baig (AEP Civil Engineering) and Mr. Doug Workman (Gavin Plant Manager) at the Gavin Plant and conducted a site visit at the bottom ash pond. The participants discussed and observed the operations of the bottom ash and recirculation ponds, including the hydraulic structures within the ponds. The crest and inboard and outboard slopes were observed and no significant geometry changes appeared to have been made since the 2009 and 2010 assessments. While the site visit was not a formal inspection, visual observations of the bottom ash pond did not reveal any dam safety concerns, and the embankments appear to be in a similar condition as in 2009 and 2010 when our previous investigations were performed.

## **4.0 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Study**

The purpose of this hydrologic and hydraulic study is to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.73 (d) (1) (v) (B) published by the EPA in April 2015 for the Gavin Bottom Ash Pond Complex (Main Pond and Reclamation Pond). The Bottom Ash Pond Complex is classified by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) Division of Soil and Water Resources as a Class I Dam. The Bottom Ash Pond Complex is composed of two ponds that are connected by a single hydraulic structure on a shared interior dike. The Main Pond discharges through the shared structure into the Reclamation Pond for final treatment. The Reclamation Pond discharges through an outlet structure to a pipe network that discharges into the Ohio River.

### **4.1 Records Review and Data Collection**

To support our analyses, S&ME requested available data from AEP with respect to the bottom ash pond, and the information received is summarized in Section 3.0 of this report. In particular, S&ME was interested in historical drawings and recent pond survey data (topographic data). An as-built drawing for the Reclamation Pond outlet structural was not available and assumptions were made with regard to structure dimensions based on a plan and profile of the structure (drawing 12-3015-3) and a site visit performed by S&ME on July 28, 2015. Additionally, S&ME was not provided with recent topographic survey data. The stage-storage curve for each pond was developed using the end-area method from the plan view contours within each pond starting at the normal (operating) pool elevation. Please note that the storage curve stops at the lowest elevation of the top of the embankment within each pond (EL. 585.0), not the highest part of the embankment. The contour areas were obtained using AutoCAD Civil 3D 2015 and based on topographic data obtained from Ohio State Imagery program (OSIP) LiDAR dataset (2006).

### **4.2 Elevation Datum Conversion**

Elevations represented in this study refer to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) unless otherwise specified. Historical drawings were used to determine structure elevations for critical hydraulic components of this study and these drawings referenced the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). The historical elevation data used in this study was converted to the NAVD88 datum using the VERTCON software package developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) using the best available data near the location of the impoundment. The VERTCON software estimated that the NGVD29 elevation data needs to be lowered by 0.650 feet to approximate the equivalent NAVD88 elevation. The output data from VERTCON is included in Appendix I.

### 4.3 Hydrologic Routing

The design storm was routed through each drainage area, corresponding to the footprint of each pond in the Bottom Ash Pond Complex, using both of the ODNR PMP distributions to determine the controlling rainfall distribution in accordance with the ODNR PMP guidance. The TR-20 hydrologic routing methodology developed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) was used to calculate the runoff volume for the PMP rainfall event. Following calculation of the hydrologic input parameters, rainfall runoff estimates, and the stage-storage relationship for the sedimentation pond, S&ME modeled the pond and outflow structure using the Hydrologic Engineering Center Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) version 4.1, developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Input and output data from HEC-HMS is included in Appendix I and a summary of the peak flows and runoff volume is included in table 2 below.

**Table 4-1 Hydrologic Routing Summary**

Basin	ODNR Distribution	Estimated Peak Inflow (CFS)	Estimated Runoff Volume (AC-FT)
Main Pond	ODNR Type II – 24HR	396.3	131.1
Reclamation Pond	ODNR Type II – 24HR	8.7	2.9

### 4.4 Hydraulic Routing

Two scenarios were modeled as part of this study. Both scenarios are described below and a summary of the estimated maximum pool elevation within the pond is include in Table 3 below. Input and output data from HEC-HMS is included in Appendix I.

#### 4.4.1 Scenario 1 - Normal Pool with active spillways during 100% PMP event

This scenario was calculated using the following assumptions:

1. Pond starting water elevation is normal (operating) pool
2. 100% PMP event
3. Plant pumped inflows and outflows are distributed evenly (hourly) throughout the storm event
4. Spillways are active and operational

**Table 4-2 Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 1**

Pond	Estimated Peak Inflow (CFS)	Estimated Peak Outflow (CFS)	Estimated Peak Elevation (ft-msl)	Estimated Freeboard (FT)
Main	398.1	39.2	580.2	4.8
Reclamation	40.1	39.4	577.6	7.4

#### 4.4.2 Scenario 2 - Normal Pool with inoperable spillways during 100% PMP event

This scenario was calculated using SCS methodology for various alternatives as described below. The estimated maximum water surface elevation for each pond in Scenario 2 is indicated in Table 6 below. Calculation sheets from the runoff curve number method are included in the Attachments (A.20-A.21).



#### 4.4.2.1 2A - Main Pond

Scenario 2A estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the Main Pond, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway.

#### 4.4.2.2 2B - Reclamation Pond

Scenario 2B estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the Reclamation Pond, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway and no hydraulic connection to the Main Pond.

#### 4.4.2.3 2C - Pond Complex

Scenario 2C estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the entire pond complex, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway and hydraulic connection to the Main Pond.

**Table 4-3 Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 2**

Scenario	Pond	Estimated Peak Elevation (ft-msl) <sup>1</sup>	Estimated Freeboard (FT)
2A	Main	582.6	2.4
2C	Reclamation	582.0	3.0

<sup>1</sup>Peak elevation chosen from Table 6 in H&H Technical Report in Appendix I.

## 4.5 Discussion

S&ME performed a hydrologic and hydraulic study on the bottom ash pond complex at the AEP Gavin Plant and a summary of the results are outlined below:

- The main pond can adequately store and pass the design storm with approximately 4.7 feet of freeboard available.
- The main pond spillway meets the requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(A).
- The main pond meets the discharge requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(B).
- The reclamation pond can adequately store and pass the design storm with approximately 7.4 feet of freeboard available.
- The reclamation pond spillway meets the requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(A).
- The reclamation pond meets the discharge requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(B).

## 5.0 Safety Factor Assessment

As part of the safety factor assessment, S&ME completed Parts 1 and 2 of Section 257.73(e) of the Final Rules for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities published on April 17, 2015 in the Federal Register. In accordance with the Rule, the analysis was performed for the critical cross-section(s) that are anticipated to be most susceptible of all cross-sections to structural failure based on appropriate engineering considerations. The Rule specified the following loading conditions for analysis:

- 
- i. Static Factor of Safety under the long-term, maximum storage pool loading condition must equal or exceed 1.50.
  - ii. Calculated static factor of safety under the maximum surcharge pool loading condition must equal or exceed 1.50
  - iii. The calculated seismic factor of safety must equal or exceed 1.00
  - iv. For dikes constructed of soils susceptible to liquefaction, the calculated liquefaction factor of safety must equal or exceed 1.20.

## 5.1 Limit Equilibrium Analyses

Our 2009 Investigation Report and the 2010 Addendum discuss in detail the subsurface investigation, laboratory testing, parameter justification, seepage analyses and limit equilibrium slope stability analyses that were performed to develop safety factors for the bottom ash pond embankments. In summary, one section on each side of the four-sided pond embankment was studied. Subsurface information for each section was obtained by performing borings through the crest and toe of the embankment. Additionally, four observation wells were installed to obtain groundwater readings within the embankment and foundation. These wells were supplemented with additional groundwater data supplied by AEP, as discussed in more detail in Section 6.0. Based on a review of all four sections explored, two were selected for detailed limit equilibrium stability analysis, one through the west side and one through the south side. The Plan of Borings, geotechnical cross-sections, and soil boring logs from the 2009 investigation are included in Appendix II. A summary of laboratory testing is provided in Appendix III.

Prior to performing the limit equilibrium stability analyses, seepage analyses were performed to develop a better understanding of the likely phreatic surface within the embankment and foundation. The models were calibrated by adding additional total head boundary conditions within the subsurface to best model the groundwater table as observed in the observation wells. The model results, in conjunction with the observation well readings, suggest that much of the seepage emanating from the ponds is moving downward into the more permeable alluvium soils rather than moving laterally through the less permeable embankments. For this reason, it appears that a classically shaped phreatic surface (as might be expected to form within an earth dam constructed on an impermeable foundation, Casagrande 1937) has not developed. In addition, the pool level within the pond is maintained well below the crest for operational purposes. The apparent effect of both of these conditions is a phreatic surface located well within the embankment and far from the outboard slope face.

The shear strength parameters developed for the embankment fill and alluvial layers for use with the pseudo-static seismic analysis were evaluated in consideration of the laboratory testing results. In accordance with NRCS practice, 80 percent of the CU strength values (USACE R-Envelope) were used as recommended for impervious soils, defined by soils exhibiting a coefficient of permeability less than  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec. Critical failure surfaces were located through a deterministic search, with no limitations on failure depth or failure surface location. The results are based on the pool level recorded at the time of the survey and the groundwater measurements recorded from the observation wells.

**Table 5-1 - Shear Strength Parameters**

<i>Material Description</i>	$\gamma_{wet}$ (pcf)	<i>Total</i>		<i>Effective</i>		<i>Reference</i>
		$\phi$	c (psf)	$\phi'$	c' (psf)	
Roadway Fill	125	34°	0	34°	0	NAVFAC
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	17.3 <sup>†</sup>	1,430 <sup>†</sup>	32°	100	CU-2 Triaxial Test (BBCM, 2010)
Upper Alluvium	125	11 <sup>†</sup>	800 <sup>†</sup>	27.9°	470	CU-3 Triaxial Test (BBCM, 2010)
Lower Alluvium	125	18 <sup>†</sup>	250 <sup>†</sup>	34.5°	0	CU-4 Triaxial Test (BBCM, 2010)
Loose to Med. Dense Glacial Outwash Sand and Gravel	120	32°	0	32°	0	SPT and Grain Size Correlations

<sup>†</sup> 80% of value used for pseudo-static slope stability analysis

## 5.2 Liquefaction Potential of Embankment Soils

S&ME evaluated the potential of the embankment soils to liquefy during a seismic event. The embankment material is classified as a fined grained material and the recovered samples with gradation testing were evaluated following guidelines presented in the 2003 NEHRP (National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program) Recommended Provisions for Seismic Regulations for New Buildings and Other Structures. The provisions in Chapter 7 indicate that liquefaction potential in fine grained soils should be assessed provided the following criteria are met (Seed and Idriss 1982; Seed et al., 1983): the weight of the soil particles finer than 0.005 mm is less than 15 percent of the dry unit weight of a specimen of the soil; the liquid limit of soil is less than 35 percent; and the moisture content of the in-place soil is greater than 0.9 times the liquid limit. If all of these criteria are not met, the soils may be considered non-liquefiable.

Laboratory testing results from 24 samples were available from the 2009 and 2010 investigation for evaluation of the screening criteria. Of the 24 samples, 13 samples contained data to check all three screening criteria, and 11 samples contained data to check two screening criterion. Based on the results of the screening, no sample met all 3 criteria; therefore, these embankment fill can be considered non-liquefiable. A table depicting this evaluation is included in Appendix II.

## 5.3 Summary of Results

A summary of the computed safety factors for the critical cross-section is provided in Table 5-1. Also included in the table are the minimum values defined in 40 CFR § 257.73(e)(1) subparts (i) through (iv). Graphical output corresponding to the analysis cases are presented in Appendix IV.

**Table 5-2 – Safety Factor Summary**

Analysis Case	Minimum Safety Factor	Computed Safety Factor
Long-term, maximum storage pool	1.50	1.76
Maximum surcharge pool	1.40	1.75
Pseudo-static seismic loading	1.00	1.39
Embankment Liquefaction	1.20	Non-liquefiable

## 6.0 Certification

Based on our previous investigations and current assessment of the Bottom Ash Pond facility, S&ME certifies that this assessment meets the requirements of paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of Part 257.73 for the critical cross-section of the embankment.

We appreciate having been given the opportunity to be of service on this project. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

**S&ME, Inc.**



Michael T. Romanello, P.E.  
Project Engineer  
Registration No. 74384



Michael G. Rowland, P.E.  
Senior Engineer  
Registration No. 65559

## **Appendices**

## **Appendix I – H&H Analysis**



# CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 2 OF 14

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>BOTTOM ASH POND HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>HYDROLOGIC STUDY .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Elevation Datum Conversion.....	3
Hydrologic Parameters .....	3
Plant Inflows .....	3
Normal Pool Designation.....	4
Drainage Area .....	5
SCS Runoff Curve Number .....	5
Time of Concentration / Lag Time .....	5
Probable Maximum Precipitation Calculation.....	5
Elevation Stage Storage Curve .....	7
Hydrologic Routing .....	7
<b>HYDRAULIC STUDY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Hydraulic Structures .....	7
Interior Dike Spillway Structure.....	8
Reclamation Pond Outlet Spillway Structure .....	10
Reclamation Pond Outlet Pipe .....	11
Modeled Scenarios.....	12
Scenario 1 - Normal Pool with active spillways during PMP event.....	12
Scenario 2 - Normal Pool with inoperable spillways during PMP event .....	12
<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>ATTACHMENTS .....</b>	<b>14</b>



# CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 3 OF 14

## BOTTOM ASH POND HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

The purpose of this hydrologic and hydraulic study is to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.73 (d) (1) (v) (B) published by the EPA in April 2015 for the Gavin Bottom Ash Pond Complex (Main Pond and Reclamation Pond). Section (d)(1)(v)(B) states the following:

- (B) The combined capacity of all spillways must adequately manage flow during and following the peak discharge from a:
  - (1) Probable maximum flood (PMF) for a high hazard potential CCR surface impoundment; or
  - (2) 1000-year flood for a significant hazard potential CCR surface impoundment; or
  - (3) 100-year flood for a low hazard potential CCR surface impoundment.

The Bottom Ash Pond Complex is classified by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) Division of Soil and Water Resources as a Class I Dam. The Pond Complex is composed of two ponds that are connected by a single hydraulic structure across a shared interior dike. The Main Pond discharges through this shared structure into the Reclamation Pond for treatment. The Reclamation Pond discharges through an outlet structure to a pipe network that discharges into the Ohio River. A site plan is included in the Attachments (A.1) and the ODNR Dam Inventory Sheet is included in the Attachments (A.10).

## HYDROLOGIC STUDY

### Elevation Datum Conversion

Elevations represented in this study refer to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) unless otherwise specified. Historical drawings were used to determine structure elevations for critical hydraulic components of this study and these drawings referenced the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). The historical elevation data used in this study was converted to the NAVD88 datum using the VERTCON software package developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) using the best available data near the location of the impoundment. The VERTCON software estimated that the NGVD29 elevation data needs to be lowered by 0.650 feet to approximate the equivalent NAVD88 elevation. The output data from VERTCON is included in the Attachments (A.2).

### Hydrologic Parameters

#### *Plant Inflows*

The Gavin Plant inflows within the Main Pond were provided by AEP in the Water Balance Diagram included in the Attachments (A.3). Table 1 below summarizes the sources of inflows and how the average daily flows were included in the study.



<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 4 OF 14

**Table 1 – Plant Inflows and Outflows**

Type	Description	Average Daily Flow <sup>1</sup>	Hourly Flow (24HR) <sup>2</sup>	Hourly Flow (6HR) <sup>3</sup>
Inflow – Main Pond	Cooling Tower Blowdown	11.52	1.76 cfs	7.0 cfs
Inflow – Main Pond	Bottom Ash + Pyrites Sluice	7.24		
Inflow – Main Pond	Low Volume Wastewater	8.39		
Inflow – Main Pond	Coal Pile Runoff	0.17		
Inflow – Main Pond	Fly Ash Transfer Building Sumps	0.01		
<b>MAIN POND INFLOW TOTAL</b>		<b>27.33</b>		


<sup>1</sup> Average Daily Flow given in Millions of Gallons per Day (MGD).

<sup>2</sup> Average Daily Flow distributed over 24 hours, given in Cubic Feet per Second (cfs).

<sup>3</sup> Average Daily Flow distributed over 6 hours, given in cfs.

### Normal Pool Designation

Normal pool within each pond was based on field observation of staff gages on the outlet structures during a site visit to the AEP Gavin Plant on July 28, 2015. The normal (operating pool) within the Main Pond is approximately 578.0 ft-msl and the operating pool within the Reclamation Pond is approximately 575.0 ft-msl. (see the following photographs).

		Date: 7/28/2015
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Main Pond Spillway Structure	
<b>Remarks</b>	Note that the pond is currently at elevation 578.0	

Photographer: MGR/MTR

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 5 OF 14

	Date: 7/28/2015  Photographer: MGR/MTR
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Reclamation Pond Spillway Structure
<b>Remarks</b>	Note the pond is currently at elevation 575.0

### *Drainage Area*

The pond is an upground impoundment and the total drainage area is limited to the inboard slope of the four primary embankments. The drainage area for both the main pond and the reclamation pond were estimated using AutoCAD Civil3D 2015 with topographic data obtained from the Ohio State Imagery program (OSIP) LiDAR dataset (2006). The estimated drainage areas for the main pond and reclamation pond are 58.1 Acres and 1.3 Acres respectively. A figure depicting the drainage area delineation is included in the Attachments (A.1).

### *SCS Runoff Curve Number*

The Soil Conservation Service Runoff Curve Number chosen for this study was 98 to reflect a drainage area that is primarily open water with very little exposed vegetated embankment for infiltration to affect the total runoff.

### *Time of Concentration / Lag Time*

Due to the upground nature of the impoundment and the fact that impoundment is predominantly an open water surface, the time of concentration was assumed to be 5 minutes.

### *Probable Maximum Precipitation Calculation*



Based on the Class I classification by the ODNR Division of Soil and Water Resources, the Gavin Bottom Ash Pond is required to pass the 100% of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF)



# CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 6 OF 14

with a starting water surface elevation at normal pool. The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) was used to estimate the PMF based on revised rainfall depth estimates for the state of Ohio from a statewide PMP study released by ODNR in 2013. Historical PMP values were conservatively high to account for a higher level of uncertainty associated with the predicted values. When the results of the new ODNR study reduced the values, new guidelines were released on the appropriate use of the values in the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of dams. Specifically, the new guidelines require the evaluation of two separate rainfall distributions: a 24-hour SCS Type II distribution commonly used in the Midwest but modified slightly by ONDR; and a 6-hour PMP distribution developed by ODNR using techniques from Hydrometeorological Report No. 52. The distributions are presented in Figures 1 and 2 below. The time step (which influences peak duration and intensity) used in each distribution has been defined by ODNR. The time steps were further modified slightly to accommodate the modeling capabilities of HEC-HMS because a 90 minute and 12 minute time step are not available. The ODNR distributions were interpolated to produce a 60 minute interval across the SCS Type II distribution and a 10 minute interval across the ODNR Dimensionless distribution. The more conservative event (the one resulting in the higher peak water surface elevation) is used as the design event. Maps provided in the Attachments (A.4 – A.5) were used to estimate the total rainfall volumes applied to each of the rainfall distributions below.





# CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 7 OF 14

## *Elevation Stage Storage Curve*

The elevation stage-storage curve for both the main pond and the reclamation pond is included in the attachments (A.6 – A.7). The stage-storage curve was developed using the end-area method from the plan view contours within each pond starting at the normal pool elevation. Please note that the storage curve stops at the lowest elevation of the top of the embankment within each pond, not the highest part of the embankment. The contour areas were obtained using AutoCAD Civil 3D 2015 and based on topographic data obtained from Ohio State Imagery program (OSIP) LiDAR dataset (2006). The LiDAR topographic contours do not provide bathymetric data below the pool level within each pond at the time of the flyover, so the Reclamation pond volume was only available down to elevation 576. S&ME extrapolated down one additional foot to model the operating pool level at elevation 575.

## **Hydrologic Routing**

The design storm was routed through each drainage area, corresponding to the footprint of each pond, using both the ODNR PMP distributions to determine the controlling rainfall distribution in accordance with the ODNR PMP guidance. Hydrologic routing methodology developed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) was used to calculate the runoff volume for the PMP rainfall event. Following calculation of the hydrologic input parameters, rainfall runoff estimates, and the stage-storage relationship for the sedimentation pond, S&ME modeled the pond and outflow structure using the Hydrologic Engineering Center Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) version 4.1, developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Input and output data from HEC-HMS is included in the Attachments (A.14-A.18) and a summary of the peak flow and runoff volume is included in table 2 below.

**Table 2 - Hydrologic Routing Summary**

<b>Basin</b>	<b>ODNR Distribution</b>	<b>Estimated Peak Inflow (CFS)</b>	<b>Estimated Runoff Volume (AC-FT)</b>
Run 1 - Main Pond	Type II – 24HR	396.3	131.1
Run 2 - Main Pond	HMR-52 – 6HR	841.2	91.3
Run 1 - Reclamation Pond	Type II – 24HR	8.7	2.9
Run 2 - Reclamation Pond	HMR-52 – 6HR	18.5	2.0

## HYDRAULIC STUDY

### **Hydraulic Structures**

Two primary structures control runoff and pumped flow within the main pond, the reclamation pond and between ponds. These structures are outlined below and supporting information including historical drawings is included in the Attachments (A.8 – A.11).



## CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 8 OF 14

### *Interior Dike Spillway Structure*

The primary spillway structure from the main pond is located within the intermediate dike between the main pond and reclamation pond. The spillway structure is composed of a concrete riser structure that controls the pool elevations with stop logs or large metal plates that are raised and lowered from a hoist. The structure is designed to allow flow to enter from two sides, with each side separated by an interior wall that has large holes to allow water to flow freely within the structure. Each vertical chamber of the structure is approximately 4 feet wide by 4 feet long. The large metal plates act as a weir for the water to flow into the structure and they are approximately 4 feet wide. The weir was modeled using a spillway rating curve developed using the Hydrologic Engineering Circular No. 22 equations for sharp-crested weirs. The calculations used to develop the weir spillway rating curve are included in the Attachments (A.8). The concrete structure discharges into a 42-inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe that runs beneath the interior dike and outlets into the reclamation pond. Characteristics of the outlet pipe are included in Table 3 below.

**Table 3 – Main Pond Outlet Pipe Characteristics**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Size / Pipe Diameter (FT)</b>	<b>Length (FT)</b>	<b>Slope (%)</b>	<b>Begin Invert El.</b>	<b>End Invert El.</b>
Pipe 1	Rein. Conc. Pipe	3.5	188	1.0	558.35	556.35

Photos of the main pond spillway structure taken during a site visit on July 28, 2014 are included below.

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 9 OF 14

		Date: 7/28/2015  Photographer: MGR/MTR
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Main Pond Spillway Structure	
<b>Remarks</b>	Right side is open, left side is closed currently.	

		Date: 7/28/2015  Photographer: MGR/MTR
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Open side of Spillway Structure	
<b>Remarks</b>	Note the metal plate used instead of stop logs.	

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 10 OF 14

### *Reclamation Pond Outlet Spillway Structure*

The outlet structure in the reclamation pond consists of an open concrete channel that discharges into a 30-inch diameter HDPE Spirolite Pipe. The water surface elevation within the pond is controlled by wooden stop logs at the inlet to the concrete channel. The stop logs can be removed to an invert elevation of 572.33. The concrete channel is 2.5 feet wide and approximately 5 feet tall. The weir was modeled using a spillway rating curve developed using the Hydrologic Engineering Circular No. 22 equations for sharp-crested weirs. The calculations used to develop the weir spillway rating curve are included in the Attachments (A.9). When submerged, the side walls of the concrete channel will begin to perform as a long weir approximately 21.5 feet long at approximately elevation 577.33. The operating pool elevation within the reclamation pond is more or less approximately elevation 575.

Photos of the reclamation pond taken during a site visit on July 28, 2014 are included below.

		Date: 7/28/2015
		Photographer: MGR/MTR
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Entrance to concrete channel	
<b>Remarks</b>	No stop logs in place at time of visit.	

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 11 OF 14

		Date: 7/28/2015
		Photographer: MGR/MTR
<b>Location / Orientation</b>	Concrete channel looking through top grating	
<b>Remarks</b>	Palmer Bowles Flume within concrete channel used to measure flow volume.	

### *Reclamation Pond Outlet Pipe*

The Reclamation pond outlet structure discharges into an outlet pipe network as described in Table 4 below. The characteristics found in the table reflect information obtained from As-built drawing 12-30407-1 included in the Attachments (A.12).

**Table 4 – Reclamation Pond Outlet Pipe Network Characteristics**

Component	Description	Size / Pipe Diameter (FT)	Length (LF)	Slope (%)	Begin Invert El.	End Invert El.
Pipe 1	Spirolite HDPE	2.5	150	0.37	572.33	571.78
Manhole 1	Concrete	-----	-----	-----	571.78	570.12
Pipe 2.1	Corrugated HDPE	2.5	1,028.4	0.5	570.12	564.35
Pipe 2.2	Spirolite HDPE	2.5	137.53	1.1	564.35	562.81
Manhole 2	Concrete	-----	-----	-----	562.81	562.81
Pipe 3	Spirolite HDPE	2.5	322.36	0.6	562.81	560.92
Manhole 3	Concrete	-----	-----	-----	560.92	560.92
Pipe 4	Corrugated HDPE	2.5	355.31	0.59	560.92	558.84

Manholes identified in this study were not included in the model and assumed to have a negligible effect on head loss across the outlet pipe network.





# CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 12 OF 14

## Modeled Scenarios

Two scenarios were modeled as part of this study. Both scenarios are described below and a summary of the estimated maximum pool elevation within the pond is include in Table 5 below. Input and output data from HEC-HMS is included in the Attachments.

### *Scenario 1 - Normal Pool with active spillways during PMP event*

This scenario was calculated using the following assumptions:

1. Pond starting water elevation is normal (operating) pool
2. 100% PMP event
3. Plant pumped inflows and outflows are distributed evenly (hourly) throughout the event
4. Spillways are active and operational

**Table 5: Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 1**

Scenario	Pond	ODNR Distribution	Estimated Peak Inflow (CFS)	Estimated Peak Outflow (CFS)	Estimated Peak Water Surface Elevation (feet-msl)
Scenario 1	Main	Type II – 24HR	398.1	39.2	580.2
Scenario 1	Main	HMR-52 – 6HR	848.2	31.8	579.9
Scenario 1	Reclamation	Type II – 24HR	40.1	39.4	577.6
Scenario 1	Reclamation	HMR-52 – 6HR	33.4	18.1	576.8

### *Scenario 2 - Normal Pool with inoperable spillways during PMP event*

This scenario was calculated using SCS methodology for various alternatives as described below. The estimated maximum water surface elevation for each pond in Scenario 2 is indicated in Table 6 below. Calculation sheets from the runoff curve number method are included in the Attachments (A.19-A.20).

#### 2A - Main Pond

Scenario 2A estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the Main Pond, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway.

#### 2B - Reclamation Pond

Scenario 2B estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the Reclamation Pond, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway and no hydraulic connection to the Main Pond.

#### 2C - Pond Complex

Scenario 2C estimated the total runoff produced from the drainage area to the entire pond complex, at both the 24HR rainfall depth and the 6HR rainfall depth, with an inoperable principal spillway and hydraulic connection to the Main Pond.



## CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 13 OF 14

**Table 6: Hydraulic Modeling Summary – Scenario 2**

Scenario	ODNR Distribution	Estimated Runoff (AC-FT)	Plant Flow Volume (AC-FT) <sup>1</sup>	Total Runoff (AC-FT)	Estimated Peak Water Surface Elevation (feet-msl)
Scenario 2A	Type II – 24HR	132.2	83.9	216.1	582.6
Scenario 2A	HMR-52 – 6HR	92.5	83.9	176.4	581.8
Scenario 2B	Type II – 24HR	3.0	-----	3.0	575.8
Scenario 2B	HMR-52 – 6HR	2.1	-----	2.1	575.6
Scenario 2C	Type II – 24HR	135.1	83.9	49.0	582.0
Scenario 2C	HMR-52 – 6HR	94.5	83.9	178.4	581.2

<sup>1</sup>Plant Flow Volume calculated as 27.33 MG X (0.1337 CF / 1 gal) X (1 AC / 43560 SF) = 83.9 AC-FT

### DISCUSSION

S&ME performed a hydrologic and hydraulic study on the bottom ash pond complex at the AEP Gavin Plant and a summary of the results are outlined below:

- The main pond can adequately store and pass the design storm without overtopping the embankment.
- The main pond meets the discharge requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(B).
- The reclamation pond can adequately store and pass the design storm without overtopping the embankment.
- The reclamation pond meets the discharge requirements specified in paragraph (d)(1)(v)(B).

### REFERENCES

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Institute for Water Resources, Hydrologic Engineering Center. *HMR52, Probable Maximum Storm (Eastern United States)*. March 1984. Revised April 1987.




## CALCULATION SHEET

<b>PROJECT NAME</b> AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond		<b>SUBJECT</b> Ash Pond H&H Study		
<b>PROJECT NO.</b> 7217-15-006A	<b>CALC BY</b> MRM	<b>REV BY</b> PLM	<b>DATE</b> 9/21/2015	<b>SHEET NO.</b> 14 OF 14

### ATTACHMENTS

- Site Plan [A.1]
- VERTCON Elevation Adjustment [A.2]
- Plant Water Balance Diagram [A.3]
- PMP Rainfall Estimates [A.4 – A.5]
- Main Pond Stage-Storage Curve [A.6]
- Reclamation Pond Stage-Storage Curve [A.7]
- Main Pond Spillway Rating Curve [A.8]
- Reclamation Pond Spillway Rating Curve [A.9]
- ODNR Dam Inventory Sheet [A.10]
- Drawing 12-30408-2 Reclaim Pond Outlet Structure P&P [A.11]
- Drawing 12-30407-1 Bottom Ash Pond Outfall Pipe P&P [A.12]
- Drawing 12-3015-3 Units 1&2 Bottom Ash Disposal Area Sections [A.13]
- Scenario 1 – HEC-HMS Input / Output Data [A.14 - A.18]
- Runoff Calculation using SCS Methodology [A.19 – A.20]



SCALE: 1" = 400'	DATE: 9-16-2015
PROJECT NO. 7217-14-006A	DRAWN BY: MRM



**SITE PLAN**

AEP GAVIN BOTTOM ASH POND COMPLEX  
 GALLIA COUNTY, CHESHIRE, OHIO

FIGURE NO.

Drawing path: Q:\Projects\7217-Civil Columbus\7217-15-006 Gavin\7217-15-006A CCR Certification\Aerial Image.dwg

Questions concerning the VERTCON process may be mailed to NGS

---

Latitude: 38 55 51.92

Longitude: 082 07 13.15

NGVD 29 height: 600.0 FT

Datum shift (NAVD 88 minus NGVD 29): -0.650 feet

Converted to NAVD 88 height: 599.350 feet

---





All-Season PMP - 24-hour 1 mi<sup>2</sup> (inches)  
Ohio Statewide PMP Study




Figure 11.3 All-season PMP (inches) for 24-hour, 1-square mile

USE 27.3 INCHES  

---

24 HR PMP



JOB NAME: AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond  
SUBJECT: Bottom Ash Pond Stage-Storage Function

CUMPUTED BY: MRM      DATE: 9/14/2015  
CHECKED BY: PLM      DATE: 9/18/2015

TASK: DETERMINE STAGE-STORAGE CURVE FOR GAVIN BOTTOM ASH POND (MAIN POND).  
SOURCE: OGRIP LiDAR IMAGERY (2006)

CONTOUR	AREA (SF)	AVG. AREA (SF)	HEIGHT	VOLUME (CF)	CUM. VOL. (CF)	CUM. VOL. (AC-FT)
578	1829710				0	0
		1915287	1	1915287		
579	2000864				1915287	44
		2043994	1	2043994		
580	2087123				3959281	91
		2100397	1	2100397		
581	2113671				6059678	139
		2122728	1	2122728		
582	2131785				8182406	188
		2139903	1	2139903		
583	2148020				10322309	237
		2156991	1	2156991		
584	2165961				12479299	286
		2176221	1	2176221		
585	2186481				14655520	336

JOB NAME: AEP Gavin Bottom Ash Pond  
SUBJECT: Bottom Ash Pond Stage-Storage Function

CUMPUTED BY: MRM      DATE: 9/14/2015  
CHECKED BY: PLM      DATE: 9/18/2015

TASK: DETERMINE STAGE-STORAGE CURVE FOR GAVIN BOTTOM ASH POND (RECLAMATION POND).  
SOURCE: OGRIP LiDAR IMAGERY (2006)

CONTOUR	AREA (SF)	AVG. AREA (SF)	HEIGHT	VOLUME (CF)	CUM. VOL. (CF)	CUM. VOL. (AC-FT)
576	170584				0	0
		179006	1	179006		
577	187428				179006	4
		190641	1	190641		
578	193855				369647	8
		195709	1	195709		
579	197564				565357	13
		199321	1	199321		
580	201078				764678	18
		202879	1	202879		
581	204679				967557	22
		206628	1	206628		
582	208576				1174184	27
		210855	1	210855		
583	213133				1385039	32
		216287	1	216287		
584	219441				1601326	37

### Main Pond - Inlet Weir

Calculated By: MRM Date: 9/17/2015  
 Reviewed By: PLM Date: 9/21/2015

Source: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22, Third Edition  
 Urban Drainage Design Manual (Rev. 2013)

#### Sharp Crested Weirs

Typical sharp crested weirs are illustrated in Figure 8-13. Equation 8-19 provides the discharge relationship for sharp crested weirs with no end contractions (illustrated in Figure 8-13a).

$$Q = C_{scw} L H^{1.5} \quad (8-19)$$

where:

- Q = Discharge, m<sup>3</sup>/s (ft<sup>3</sup>/s)
- L = Horizontal weir length, m (ft)
- H = Head above weir crest excluding velocity head, m (ft)
- C<sub>scw</sub> = 1.81 + 0.22 (H/H<sub>c</sub>) [3.27 + 0.4 (H/H<sub>c</sub>) in English units]

As indicated above, the value of the coefficient C<sub>scw</sub> is known to vary with the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> (see Figure 8-13c for definition of terms). For values of the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> less than 0.3, a constant C<sub>scw</sub> of 1.84 (3.33 in English units) is often used.

Equation 8-20 provides the discharge equation for sharp-crested weirs with end contractions (illustrated in Figure 8-13(b)). As indicated above, the value of the coefficient C<sub>scw</sub> is known to vary with the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> (see Figure 8-13c for definition of terms). For values of the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> less than 0.3, a constant C<sub>scw</sub> of 1.84 (3.33 in English units) is often used.

$$Q = C_{scw} (L - 0.2 H) H^{1.5} \quad (8-20)$$




Figure 8-13. Sharp crested weirs.

Value	Units	Description
Pool Elevation = 578	Feet MSL	Drawing 12-3015-3
Length of Weir = 4.0	Feet	From Site Visit
Height of Weir = 10.0	Feet	Drawing 12-3015-3

Headwater Elevation (FT)	L, Length (feet)	Hc, Feet	H/Hc	Cscw, Coefficient*	Q, Orifice Discharge (CFS) [Use EQ 8-20]**
558.00	4.0	10.00	-2.00	3.33	0
559.00	4.0	10.00	-1.90	3.33	0
560.00	4.0	10.00	-1.80	3.33	0
561.00	4.0	10.00	-1.70	3.33	0
562.00	4.0	10.00	-1.60	3.33	0
563.00	4.0	10.00	-1.50	3.33	0
564.00	4.0	10.00	-1.40	3.33	0
565.00	4.0	10.00	-1.30	3.33	0
566.00	4.0	10.00	-1.20	3.33	0
567.00	4.0	10.00	-1.10	3.33	0
568.00	4.0	10.00	-1.00	3.33	0
569.00	4.0	10.00	-0.90	3.33	0
570.00	4.0	10.00	-0.80	3.33	0
571.00	4.0	10.00	-0.70	3.33	0
572.00	4.0	10.00	-0.60	3.33	0
573.00	4.0	10.00	-0.50	3.33	0
574.00	4.0	10.00	-0.40	3.33	0
575.00	4.0	10.00	-0.30	3.33	0
576.00	4.0	10.00	-0.20	3.33	0
577.00	4.0	10.00	-0.10	3.33	0
578.00	4.0	10.00	0.00	3.33	0.0
579.00	4.0	10.00	0.10	3.33	12.7
580.00	4.0	10.00	0.20	3.33	33.9
581.00	4.0	10.00	0.30	3.39	59.9
582.00	4.0	10.00	0.40	3.43	87.8
583.00	4.0	10.00	0.50	3.47	116.4
584.00	4.0	10.00	0.60	3.51	144.4
585.00	4.0	10.00	0.70	3.55	170.9

\* Cscw = 3.33 when H/Hc < 0.3

**Reclamation Pond - Inlet Weir**

Calculated By: MRM Date: 9/17/2015  
 Reviewed By: PLM Date: 9/21/2015

Source: Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22, Third Edition  
 Urban Drainage Design Manual (Rev. 2013)

**Sharp Crested Weirs**

Typical sharp crested weirs are illustrated in Figure 8-13. Equation 8-19 provides the discharge relationship for sharp crested weirs with no end contractions (illustrated in Figure 8-13a).

$$Q = C_{scw} L H^{1.5} \tag{8-19}$$

where:

- Q = Discharge, m<sup>3</sup>/s (ft<sup>3</sup>/s)
- L = Horizontal weir length, m (ft)
- H = Head above weir crest excluding velocity head, m (ft)
- C<sub>scw</sub> = 1.81 + 0.22 (H/H<sub>c</sub>) [3.27 + 0.4 (H/H<sub>c</sub>) in English units]

As indicated above, the value of the coefficient C<sub>scw</sub> is known to vary with the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> (see Figure 8-13c for definition of terms). For values of the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> less than 0.3, a constant C<sub>scw</sub> of 1.84 (3.33 in English units) is often used.

Equation 8-20 provides the discharge equation for sharp-crested weirs with end contractions (illustrated in Figure 8-13(b)). As indicated above, the value of the coefficient C<sub>scw</sub> is known to vary with the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> (see Figure 8-13c for definition of terms). For values of the ratio H/H<sub>c</sub> less than 0.3, a constant C<sub>scw</sub> of 1.84 (3.33 in English units) is often used.

$$Q = C_{scw} (L - 0.2 H) H^{1.5} \tag{8-20}$$




Figure 8-13. Sharp crested weirs.

	Value	Units	Description
Pool Elevation =	575	Feet MSL	Drawing 12-30408-2
Length of Weir =	2.5	Feet	Drawing 12-30408-2
Height of Weir =	5.0	Feet	Drawing 12-30408-2

Headwater Elevation (FT)	L, Length (feet)	Hc, Feet	H/Hc	Csw, Coefficient*	Q, Orifice Discharge (CFS) [Use EQ 8-20]**
558.00	2.5	5.00	-3.40	3.33	0
559.00	2.5	5.00	-3.20	3.33	0
560.00	2.5	5.00	-3.00	3.33	0
561.00	2.5	5.00	-2.80	3.33	0
562.00	2.5	5.00	-2.60	3.33	0
563.00	2.5	5.00	-2.40	3.33	0
564.00	2.5	5.00	-2.20	3.33	0
565.00	2.5	5.00	-2.00	3.33	0
566.00	2.5	5.00	-1.80	3.33	0
567.00	2.5	5.00	-1.60	3.33	0
568.00	2.5	5.00	-1.40	3.33	0
569.00	2.5	5.00	-1.20	3.33	0
570.00	2.5	5.00	-1.00	3.33	0
571.00	2.5	5.00	-0.80	3.33	0
572.00	2.5	5.00	-0.60	3.33	0
573.00	2.5	5.00	-0.40	3.33	0
574.00	2.5	5.00	-0.20	3.33	0
575.00	2.5	5.00	0.00	3.33	0.0
576.00	2.5	5.00	0.20	3.33	7.7
577.00	2.5	5.00	0.40	3.43	20.4
578.00	2.5	5.00	0.60	3.51	34.7
579.00	2.5	5.00	0.80	3.59	48.8
580.00	2.5	5.00	1.00	3.67	61.5
581.00	2.5	5.00	1.20	3.75	71.6
582.00	2.5	5.00	1.40	3.83	78.0
583.00	2.5	5.00	1.60	3.91	79.6
584.00	2.5	5.00	1.80	3.99	75.4

# Dam Inventory Sheet

Name: GAVIN BOTTOM ASH POND File No: 8720-003  
Reservoir: National #: OH00971  
Permit No.:  
Class (Ht-Vol): I (III - II)

**Owner Information**  
Owner: AEP Generation Resources Inc. Owner Type: Utility  
Address: Gavin Plant Multi-Dams: Yes: 10, Class I:7  
PO Box 271 Parcel No.:  
City: Cheshire State: OH Zip: 45620  
Contact: Doug Workman Phone No.: 740-925-3135

**Location Information**  
County: Gallia Latitude Deg.: 38 Min.: 55 Sec.: 52  
Township: Cheshire & Addison Longitude Deg.: 82 Min.: 7 Sec.: 14  
Stream: Kyger Creek - Offstream  
USGS Quad.: Cheshire, Oh-wv USGS Basin No.: 05030202

**Design/Construction Information**  
Designed By: Aep With Casagrande Consultants  
Constructed By: J.j. Blazer Construction Co.  
Completed: 1974 Plan Available: YES At: AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER  
Failure/Incident/Breach:

**Structure Information**  
Purpose: Waste Retention  
Type of Impound.: Upground  
Type of Structure: Earthfill  
Drainage Area (sq. miles): 0.1 or (acres): 62

**Embankment Data**  
Length (ft): 6650 Upstream Slope: 2H:1V  
Height (ft): 36.5 Downstream Slope: 2H:1V  
Top Width (ft): 30 Volume of Fill (cub. yds.): 723870

**Spillway Outlet Works Data**  
Lake Drain: NONE  
Principal: CONCRETE CONTROL TOWER W/ STOPLOGS IN MAIN POND W/ 42-IN RCP  
Emergency: 30-INCH HDPE W/CONCRETE FLUME AND SLUICeway  
Maximum Spillway Discharge (cfs): 320 Design Flood: 1.0 Flood Capacity: 1.0

**Dam Reservoir Data**

	Elevation (ft-MSL)*	Area (acres)	Storage (acre-feet)
Top of Dam:	594	62.4	1530
Emergency Spillway:	575	59.1	860
Principal Spillway:	574	58.8	470
Streambed:	557.5		


Foundation: \*Elevations are not necessarily related to a USGS benchmark

**Inspection Information**  
Inspection: 8/14/2012 WDE Phase I:  
History: 8/20/2007 RAA Other Visits:  
12/14/2004 TGL  
8/9/1995 JDW  
Inspection Year: E

**Operation Information/Remarks** OPC3

Main pond is a bottom ash retent. pond; reclamation pond in nw corner; overflow from main to reclam. pond; principal is overflow in main pond. Emerg. overflow is in reclam. pond. Elev. data is for former design.

Emergency Action Plan: Approved Format: Old OMI: Yes-with owner  
Last Entry: 4/24/2014



**GENERAL NOTES**

- SEE DWG 12-30409 FOR ADD'L NOTES.
- GRATING SHALL HAVE 1" x 3/8" BEARING BARS @ 12" c/c WITH CROSS BARS 1/2" c/c. GRATING SHALL BE GALVANIZED.
- STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A36 AND SHALL BE GALVANIZED.
- 30" HDPE CHANGES FROM SPIROLITE (TABLE) TO H-12 (OUTLET) AT MANHOLE No. 1

**REFERENCE DRAWINGS**

- S05-44 MISC. STEEL DETAILS, SHEET 2 OF 2
- 12-30407 PLANT-SITE WASTE WATER DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM DISPOSAL - BOTTOM ASH COMPLEX FOND OUTFALL PIPE (PLAN & PROFILE)
- 12-30409 PLANT-SITE WASTE WATER DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM RECLAIM POND OUTLET ACCESS STAIRS - STEEL FRAMING AND FOUNDATIONS

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APP'D.
1	AS-BUILT		
2	ADDED DETAILS OF MANHOLE No. 1		
3	RELEASED FOR CONST.		
4	ISSUED FOR PERMIT		


**REVISIONS**

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF THE AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP. AND IS LOANED ON CONDITION THAT IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, OR USED FOR PRACTICING INFORMATION TO ANY PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE AEP SERVICE CORP., OR FOR ANY PURPOSES UNRELATED TO THEIR INTEREST, AND IS TO BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST.


**OHIO POWER COMPANY**  
**GAVIN PLANT**  
 CHESHIRE OHIO  
 PLANT SITE - WASTE WATER DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM RECLAIM POND OUTLET STRUCTURE - PLAN AND PROFILE

DWG. NO. 12-30408 - 2  
 SCALE: AS SHOWN  
 CIVIL ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 J.M. Sauer  
 1 RIVERSIDE PLAZA  
 COLUMBUS, OH 43215

AEP6V002813



PLAN - 30" DIA. OUTFALL NO. 006  
SCALE: 1" = 60'-0"



PROFILE - 30" DIA. OUTFALL NO. 006

**LEGEND**

**EXISTING**

- SPOT ELEVATION
- INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- INDEX CONTOUR
- DEPRESSION CONTOUR
- TREES AND TREELINE
- STRUCTURE AND BUILDING
- FENCE
- POLE
- ROADS
- EDGE OF WATER
- MANHOLES / CATCH BASIN
- POWER POLE
- TOWER

**PROPOSED**

- SPOT ELEVATION
- INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- INDEX CONTOUR
- DEPRESSION CONTOUR
- PIPE CENTERLINE
- DRAINAGE STRUCTURE
- MANHOLE

**REFERENCE DRAWINGS**

- 12-30402 PLANT SITE - WASTE WATER DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM DISPOSAL - MODIFICATION OF BOTTOM ASH COMPLEX POND & OUTFALL PIPE.
- 12-30408 PLANT SITE - WASTE WATER DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM RECLAIM POND OUTFALL STRUCTURE.

**NOTES:**

- ELEVATION USED THROUGHOUT THIS DRAWING ARE REFERENCED TO THE GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929. NO CONVERSION FROM PLANT REFERENCE ELEVATION IS REQUIRED EXCEPT WHERE INDICATED.
- THE PLANT REFERENCE ELEVATION HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AS 190'-0". TO CONVERT TO THE PLANT ELEVATION SUBTRACT 470'-0" FROM THE GEODETIC ELEVATION.
- FOR PROFILE INFORMATION REFER TO THIS DRAWING.
- FOR STRUCTURE INFORMATION REFER TO DWG. NO. 12-30408.
- PIPE MATERIAL TO BE 30-INCH DIA. CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE, A.D.S. N-12 OR EQUAL. PIPE COUPLINGS SHALL HAVE GASKETS.
- MANHOLE 1 IS FORMER CONCRETE. MANHOLES 2 AND 3 ARE HOPE SPIROLITE SANITARY SEWER UNITS. (SEE DWG. 12-30408)

DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	BY
12/29/94	1	AS-BUILT	MB
01/20/95	0	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	MB

12-30407-1


12-30407-1

OHIO POWER COMPANY  
GAVIN PLANT  
CHESHIRE OHIO  
PLANT SITE - WASTE WATER  
DRY FLY ASH SYSTEM DISPOSAL -  
BOTTOM ASH COMPLEX POND  
OUTFALL PIPE (PLAN & PROFILE)


DWG. NO. 12-30407-1  
SCALE: AS SHOWN  
BY: S.R. Miller  
DATE: 07/2  
APP. BY: M. Bahoda  
DATE: 4/26/94  
OHIO ELECTRIC POWER  
1 RIVERSIDE PLAZA  
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

AEP/002812


GENERAL NOTES  
DO NOT SCALE THIS DRAWING




SECTION A-A  
SCALE 1" = 20'-0"




SECTION B-B  
SCALE 1" = 20'-0"



SECTION C-C  
SCALE 1" = 20'-0"





SECTION D-D  
SCALE 1" = 20'-0"



SECTION E-E

2 FT OF FALL  
188 LF ≈ 1% FALL



SECTION F-F

**PIPE BACKFILL SPECIFICATIONS**

1. Specially Compacted Impervious Backfill Around Pipes  
The compacted clayey type backfill material shown on the drawings shall be an impervious material with a water content between 0 and 25 above Standard Proctor optimum water content. This material shall be compacted with hand operated ram type compactors to a minimum of 95% of the maximum Standard Proctor dry density. Suspended rollers shall not be permitted to pass over the pipes until a minimum of 3 feet of specially tamped fill has been placed over the top of the pipe.
2. Specially Compacted Porous Backfill Around Pipes  
For sections of pipe shown on the drawings, which call for specially compacted porous backfill the backfill material shall be a clean sand or a sand and gravel. The porous material shall be saturated prior to compaction. Compaction shall consist of a maximum of 4 complete passes of a hand operated roller type compactor a type comparable to the Mackor Model 200 or its approved equivalent. Three (3) feet of specially compacted backfill above the pipe is required before heavy equipment is permitted to pass over the sections.

DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	BY	APP'D.
9/11/48	3	Revised Section E-E	J.S.	J.S.
9/11/48	2	Added reinforcing to Section F-F	J.S.	J.S.
9/11/48	1	Revised Sheet on Sects. C-C	J.S.	J.S.
9/11/48	1	Revised Note A and D.D.	J.S.	J.S.

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF THE AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP. AND IS LOANED UPON CONDITION THAT IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, OR USED FOR FURNISHING INFORMATION TO ANY PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE AEP SERVICE CORP., OR FOR ANY PURPOSES SEPARATE TO THEIR INTEREST, AND IS TO BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST.

UNITS 188  
BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL AREA  
SECTIONS

OHIO POWER COMPANY  
GAVIN PLANT  
CHESTER OHIO

DR. NO. 12-5015-3

SCALE: 1" = 20'	DATE: 4-5-48
DESIGNED BY: J.S.	CHECKED BY: J.S.
DRAWN BY: J.S.	APPROVED BY: J.S.



AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP.  
2 BROADWAY NEW YORK

AEPGV002809





# Scenario 1—Main Pond

## ODNR Dimensionless 6HR





# Scenario 1—Rec Pond

## ODNR Dimensionless 6HR





# Scenario 1—Main Pond

## ODNR SCS Type II 24HR





# Scenario 1—Rec Pond

## ODNR SCS Type II 24HR



# Scenario 1—Plant Flows



**2A - Area of Main Pond - 24HR Storm:**  $A_M := 58.1$  *acre*

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 27.3 \text{ in}$$

$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 27.057 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 99.108\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 132.178 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

**2A - Area of Main Pond - 6HR Storm:**  $A_M := 58.1$  *acre*

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 19.1 \text{ in}$$

$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 18.857 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 98.729\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 92.476 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

**2B - Area of Rec Pond - 24HR Storm:**  $A_M := 1.3$  *acre*

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 27.3 \text{ in}$$

$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 27.057 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 99.108\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 2.958 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

**2B - Area of Rec Pond - 6HR Storm:**  $A_M := 1.3 \text{ acre}$

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 19.1 \text{ in}$$

$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 18.857 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 98.729\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 2.069 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

**2C - Area of Pond Complex - 24HR Storm:**  $A_M := 59.4 \text{ acre}$

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 27.3 \text{ in}$$

$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 27.057 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 99.108\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 135.135 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

**2C - Area of Pond Complex - 6HR Storm:**  $A_M := 59.4 \text{ acre}$

$$CN := 98 \quad S := \left( \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \right) \text{ in} \quad I_a := 0.2 \cdot S \quad P := 19.1 \text{ in}$$


$$Q := \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

$$Q = 18.857 \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{Q}{P} = 98.729\% \quad \text{Rainfall} := P \cdot A_M = 94.545 \text{ acre} \cdot \text{ft}$$

## **Appendix II – 2009/2010 Site Investigation Figures**






Images: ~Addison Ohio Quad Map.tif ~Cheshire Ohio Quad Map.tif ~gallia.tif  
 Xrefs:  
 File Last Updated: Jun 04, 2009  
 Plot Info: 6-4-2009 1:42pm By: MFRamonello  
 BCC&M Filename: I:\DEPT\CADD\Drawings\Projects\011-11497-014\011-11497-014 VMap.dwg Layout: V-Map



USGS Mapping:  
 CHESHIRE QUADRANGLE  
 ADDISON QUADRANGLE




VICINITY MAP		
<b>Gavin Generating Plant          Bottom Ash Pond Investigation          Cheshire, Ohio</b>		
Project: 011-11497-014	Drawn By: MTR	 Columbus (614) 793-2226 Cleveland (216) 901-1000 Cincinnati (513) 771-8471 Dayton (937) 424-1011
Drawing Date: 6-04-2009	Approved By: MGR	
Last Updated: 6-4-2009	Scale: 1" = 2000'	



BORING	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION
GV-PZ-BAP-0901	339254.1	2106965.4	593.98
GV-PZ-BAP-0902	339323.7	2106865.5	567.75
GV-PZ-BAP-0903	338496.5	2107509.7	598.56
GV-PZ-BAP-0904	338376.6	2107504.2	559.80
GV-BAP-0905	338988.5	2108768.0	599.97
GV-BAP-0906	338947.0	2108876.5	569.53
GV-BAP-0907	339926.3	2108424.5	599.43
GV-BAP-0908	340056.0	2108453.1	570.49
GV-BAP-0909	339695.8	2107210.7	588.6
GV-BAP-0910	338380.4	2107102.6	562.2
GV-BAP-0911	338338.9	2107909.4	565.4
GV-BAP-0912	338959.0	2108957.0	565.9

DATUM: NAD 27/NGVD 29 OHIO SOUTH



**LEGEND**

- 570 — EXISTING GRADE CONTOUR (2 FT. INTERVAL)
- - - - - EXISTING WATER SURFACE (AT TIME OF SURVEY)
- — — — — FENCE LINE
- ~~~~~ EXISTING VEGETATION
- BORING NUMBER AND LOCATION (BBCM 2009 SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTIGATION)
- BORING NUMBER AND LOCATION (BBCM 2009 INVESTIGATION)
- BORING NUMBER AND LOCATION (APPROXIMATE) PRECONSTRUCTION INVESTIGATION

PROJECT NUMBER: 011-11497-014	DRAWN BY: TJM
DRAWING DATE: 5-22-2009	ENGINEER: MTR
LAST UPDATED: 4-16-2010	APPROVED BY: MGR
	SCALE: 1" = 100'



Columbus (614) 785-2228  
Cleveland (216) 861-0000  
Cincinnati (513) 771-8411  
Dayton (937) 424-1011

DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	APPRD.
4/16/10	1	ADDENDUM TO INVESTIGATION	MGR

\* THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF THE AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP. AND IS LOANED UPON CONDITION THAT IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, OR USED FOR FURNISHING INFORMATION TO ANY PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE AEP SERVICE CORP., OR FOR ANY PURPOSE DETRIMENTAL TO THEIR INTEREST, AND IS TO BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST \*


A.E.P.  
GAVIN PLANT  
CHESHIRE OHIO  
BOTTOM ASH POND  
INVESTIGATION  
PLAN OF BORINGS

DWG. NO. PLATE 2


SCALE:	CIVIL ENGINEERING
DR:	
ENGR:	
ENGR:	
DATE:	

AEP SERVICE CORP.  
1 RIVERSIDE PLAZA  
COLUMBUS, OH 43215


SYSTEM DATE: 00-MM-YY  
SYSTEM TIME: -HH:MM:SS




SECTION 'A'  
Borings BAP-0901 & BAP-0902





SECTION 'B'  
Borings BAP-0903 & BAP-0904




SECTION 'C'  
Borings BAP-0905 & BAP-0906



SECTION 'D'  
Boring BAP-0907



PROFILE #1  
Borings BAP-0904, BAP-0909, & BAP-0910



**LEGEND**

- 4/20/09 ▼ OBSERVATION WELL READING: ELEVATION AND DATE
- 4/3/09 ▼ SEEPAGE ENCOUNTERED DURING DRILLING
- V.SO / SO SOFT / VERY SOFT
- M.ST M. STIFF
- ST / V.ST STIFF / VERY STIFF
- HD HARD
- V.LO / LO VERY LOOSE / LOOSE
- M.DE MEDIUM DENSE
- DE / V.DE DENSE / VERY DENSE
- ORG ORGANIC
- - - - - EXISTING WATER SURFACE (AT TIME OF INVESTIGATION)

4/16/10	1	ADDENDUM TO INVESTIGATION	MGR
DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION	APPD.
REVISIONS			

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF THE AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORP. AND IS LOANED UPON CONDITION THAT IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR COPIED, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, OR USED FOR FURNISHING INFORMATION TO ANY PERSON WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE AEP SERVICE CORP., OR FOR ANY PURPOSE DETRIMENTAL TO THEIR INTEREST, AND IS TO BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST.

A.E.P.  
GAVIN PLANT  
CHESHIRE OHIO

BOTTOM ASH POND  
INVESTIGATION  
SUBSURFACE  
CROSS SECTIONS

DWG. NO. PLATE 3

SCALE: CIVIL ENGINEERING

PROJECT NUMBER: 011-11497-014	DRAWN BY: TJM	 Columbus (614) 785-2228 Cincinnati (513) 801-1000 Cincinnati (513) 771-8411 Dayton (937) 424-1011
DRAWING DATE: 6-4-2009	ENGINEER: MTR	
LAST UPDATED: 4-16-2010	APPROVED BY: MGR	
	SCALE: 1" = 20'	

ELEVATION DATUM: NAD 27/NGVD 29 OHIO SOUTH

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ APPROVED BY: \_\_\_\_\_


AEP SERVICE CORP.  
1 RIVERSIDE PLAZA  
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

SYSTEM DATE: 00-MMM-YYYY  
SYSTEM TIME: -HH:MM:SS


'LOWER ALLUVIUM' CHARACTERISTICS			
Boring	Depth to Top of Layer*	Top of Layer Elevation	Total Layer Thickness
GV-PZ-BAP-0901	19.1	545.1	2.8
GV-PZ-BAP-0902	12.0	547.3	8.0
GV-PZ-BAP-0903	11.5	548.1	10.0
GV-PZ-BAP-0904	14.0	543.8	20.4
GV-BAP-0905	20.0	549.5	12.5
GV-BAP-0906	13.0	556.5	8.0
GV-BAP-0907	17.4	542.4	3+
GV-BAP-0908	-	-	-
GV-BAP-0909	17.5	542.2	11.0
GV-BAP-0910	13.5	550.4	12.0
GV-BAP-0911	17.5	548.4	10.0
B-500	-	-	-
B-501	8.0	555	20.0
B-502	10.0	558	8.0
B-503	15.0	555	8.0
B-504	10.0	553	6.0
B-505	13.0	550	11.0
B-506	14.0	547	5.0
B-507	24.0	542	10.0
B-508	16.0	553	8.0

\*DEPTH TO TOP OF LAYER IS DEPTH BELOW NATURAL GROUND SURFACE ENCOUNTER

'LOWER ALLUVIUM' LAYER DEFINED BY HAND PENETROMETER VALUES RANGING FROM 0.0 TSF TO 1.0 TSF (VERY SOFT TO MEDIUM STIFF).



- LEGEND**
- GV-BAP-0908 BORING LOCATION  
BBCM 2009 SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTIGATION
  - GV-BAP-0901 BORING LOCATION  
BBCM 2009 INVESTIGATION
  - B-500 BORING LOCATION  
PRECONSTRUCTION INVESTIGATION





LOWER ALLUVIUM SUBSURFACE CHARACTERIZATION		
Supplemental Geotechnical Investigation AEP Gavin Plant Bottom Ash Pond Cheshire, Ohio		
Project: 011-11497-014	Drawn By: MTR	
Drawing Date: 10-07-2009	Approved By: MGR	
Last Updated: 1-21-2010	Scale: 1" = 300'	1:1



Columbus (614) 793-2226  
Cleveland (216) 901-1000  
Cincinnati (513) 771-8471  
Dayton (937) 424-1011

Images: ~ Gavin\_Aerial.jpg  
 Xrefs:  
 File Last Updated: Jan 21, 2010  
 Plot Info: 1-28-2010 @ 6:00pm By: MRomanello  
 BBCM Filename: I:\DEPTSCADD\Drawings\Projects\011-11497-014\Isopach Map.dwg Layout: POB

Images: ~ Gavin\_Aerial.jpg  
 Xrefs:  
 File Last Updated: Jan 28, 2010  
 Plot Info: 1-28-2010 @ 6:03pm By: MRomanello  
 BBC&M Filename: I:\DEPTSCADD\Drawings\Projects\011-11497-014\Isopach Map.dwg Layout: ISOPACH MAP



DEPTH BELOW NATURAL GROUND SURFACE TO 'LOWER' ALLUVIUM LAYER

ELEVATION OF TOP OF LOWER ALLUVIUM LAYER

THICKNESS OF 'LOWER' ALLUVIUM LAYER

**LEGEND**



BORING LOCATION  
BBCM 2009 SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTIGATION



BORING LOCATION  
BBCM 2009 INVESTIGATION



BORING LOCATION  
PRECONSTRUCTION INVESTIGATION

**LOWER ALLUVIUM SUBSURFACE CHARACTERIZATION**

Supplemental Geotechnical Investigation  
AEP Gavin Plant Bottom Ash Pond  
Cheshire, Ohio

Project: 011-11497-014

Drawn By: MTR

Drawing Date: 10-07-2009

Approved By: MGR

Last Updated: 1-28-2010

Scale: 1" = 300'



1:1



Columbus (614) 793-2226  
Cleveland (216) 901-1000  
Cincinnati (513) 771-8471  
Dayton (937) 424-1011

## EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BORING LOGS FOR SAMPLING AND DESCRIPTION OF SOIL

### SAMPLING DATA

-  - Blocked-in "SAMPLES" column indicates sample was attempted and recovered within this depth interval.
-  - Sample was attempted within this interval but not recovered.
- 2/5/9 - The number of blows required for each 6-inch increment of penetration of a "Standard" 2-inch O.D. split-barrel sampler, driven a distance of 18 inches by a 140-pound hammer freely falling 30 inches. The raw "blowcount" or "N" is equal to the sum of the second and third 6-inch increments of penetration. Addition of one of the following symbols indicates the use of a split-barrel other than the 2" O.D. sampler:
  - 2S

 - 2½" O.D. split-barrel sampler
  - 3S

 - 3" O.D. split-barrel sampler
- $N_{60}$  - Corrected Blowcount = [(BBCM Drill Rod Energy Ratio) / (0.60 Standard)] X  $N_{raw}$
- P - Shelby tube sampler, 3" O.D., hydraulically pushed.
- R - Refusal of sampler in very-hard or dense soil, or on a resistant surface.
- 50-2" - Number of blows (50) to drive a split-barrel sampler a certain number of inches (2), other than the normal 6-inch increment.
- SD - Split-barrel sampler (S) advanced by weight of drill rods (D),
- SH - Split-barrel sampler (S) advanced by combined weight of rods and drive hammer (H).

### SOIL DESCRIPTIONS

All soils have been classified basically in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System, but this system has been augmented by the use of special adjectives to designate the approximate percentages of minor components as follows:

<u>Adjective</u>	<u>Percent by Weight</u>
trace	1 to 10
little	11 to 20
some	21 to 35
"and"	36 to 50

The following terms are used to describe density and consistency of soils:

<u>Term (Granular Soils)</u>	<u>Blows per foot (<math>N_{60}</math>)</u>
Very-loose	Less than 5
Loose	5 to 10
Medium-dense	11 to 30
Dense	31 to 50
Very-dense	Over 50
<u>Term (Cohesive Soils)</u>	<u>Qu (tsf)</u>
Very-soft	Less than 0.25
Soft	0.25 to 0.5
Medium-stiff	0.5 to 1.0
Stiff	1.0 to 2.0
Very-stiff	2.0 to 4.0
Hard	Over 4.0

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0901  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 594.0 DATE: 4/9/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 64.8'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS				
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT								
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT								
									LIQUID LIMIT							
								10	20	30	40					
593.0							ROOTMAT - 12 INCHES									
	1	3	3 / 3 / 8		15	80	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, few pockets of silt, organic silt and silty clay, few roots near top of stratum, damp.							H=4.5+		
	2	4	4 / 6 / 8		19	100									H=4.5+	
	3	4	4 / 7 / 10		23	80									H=4.5+	
	4	10	10 / 10 / 12		30	93									H=4.0	
	5	P			60										G H=4.0	
	6	3	3 / 4 / 6		14	100									H=2.5-3.75	
	7	5	5 / 7 / 8		21	73									H=3.5-3.75	
	8	2	2 / 4 / 6		14	87									H=3.75	
	9	2	2 / 4 / 6		14										H=3.0-4.0	
	10	6	6 / 8 / 9		23	73									H=2.0-2.5	
	11	2	2 / 5 / 6		15	87									LL=53% H=2.75-3.5	
576.0	12	2	2 / 3 / 5		11	73		FILL: Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, few roots near middle of stratum, few to many pockets of silt near bottom of stratum, damp.							H=2.5-4.5	
	13	P														G H=3.5
	14	2	2 / 4 / 7		15	87										H=2.0-2.75
	15	4	4 / 7 / 9		22	100										H=1.75-2.5
	25															

WATER LEVEL: <u>53.4</u>	WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	DATE: <u>4/9/09</u>	WATER LEVEL: <u>52.8</u>	WATER NOTE: <u>Inside Well</u>	DATE: <u>4/20/09</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b>
G - Gradation	Q - Uncon Comp	T - Triax Comp	C - Consol.	See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)	D - Relative Dens (%)	Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b>
								Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0901  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 594.0 DATE: 4/9/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 64.8'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT			
								10	20	30	40	
564.2	25	16	9	14	42	80	FILL: Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, few roots near middle of stratum, few to many pockets of silt near bottom of stratum, damp.					H=4.5+
563.8		17	5	10	19	100			●		×	H=4.5+ G
		18	7	11	29	100						H=4.5+
		19A	10	12	38	100						H=4.5+
	30	19B	5	16	57		Hard gray mottled with dark-gray organic silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, damp.					H=4.5+
		20	5	10	33	100	Very-stiff to hard dark-brown mottled with brown silty clay, trace to some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, few to many lenses of silt, few seams of fine sand, damp becoming wet at 46.0'.		●	×	×	H=4.5+
		21	6	10	33	100			●	×	×	H=3.0-4.5 G
	35											
		22	3	6	21	100						H=3.0-4.5
		23	3	6	19	100			●	×		H=2.25-2.75
	40											
		24	2	5	15	100						H=1.5-2.75
551.0												
		25	2	3	11	100	Stiff brown mottled with dark-brown clayey silt, some fine sand, trace medium sand, many lenses of silt, damp.		×	●	×	H=1.5-2.0 G
548.5	45											
		26	1	2	7	100	Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, little fine sand, many lenses and seams of silt and fine sand, wet.			●		H=0.75-1.5
545.1		27A	2	3		100						H=0.75-1.5
		27B	2	3	8	100	Loose brown and gray mottled with dark-brown silt interbedded with silty clay and fine sand,		×	×	●	
	50											

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 53.4</u>	WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 52.8</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b>	
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	WATER NOTE: <u>Inside Well</u>	G - Gradation	See	Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b>	
DATE: <u>4/9/09</u>	DATE: <u>4/20/09</u>	Q - Uncon Comp	Separate Curves	Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>	
		T - Triax Comp		H - Penetrometer (tsf)	
		C - Consol.		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)	
			D - Relative Dens (%)		



**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0901  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 594.0 DATE: 4/9/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 64.8'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
								10	20	30	40	
542.3	50						trace medium sand, wet.					
		28A	2	2	7	12						G
		28B					Medium-dense brown and gray fine sand, trace medium sand, little to some fine gravel, trace silt, few seams of silty clay, contains zones of fine to coarse gravel, wet.					G
		29	8	9	12	29						G
		30	6	11	15	36						
		31	7	14	20	46						
529.2	65	32	10	38		53						
							- Encountered water at 52.0' and 55.5'. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP. - Drilled 5' additional feet on April 20, 2009 and installed observation well. See separate well log.					

WATER LEVEL: $\nabla$ <u>53.4</u> $\blacktriangledown$ <u>52.8</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u> <u>Inside Well</u>		<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
DATE: <u>4/9/09</u> <u>4/20/09</u>		<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0902**  
**AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND**  
**CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 567.8 DATE: 4/15/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 40.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT →				
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
								10	20	30	40	
565.3							ROADWAY GRANULAR BASE - 30 INCHES					
		1	25 / 40	37	105	100	FILL: Very-dense gray and gray-black fine to coarse sand, little fine gravel (coal fragments), some to "and" silt, dry to damp.					
	5	2	18 / 26	24	68	100						
		3	10 / 12	11	31	100						
560.8												
		4	2 / 5	7	16	73	FILL: Very-stiff gray mottled with brown and dark-brown silty clay, some fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, moist.		●		×	H=3.0
559.3												
		5	2 / 6	10	22	87	Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, little to some fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, desiccated near bottom of stratum, moist.					H=3.5-4.5
	10											
		6	3 / 5	10	21	100			●		×	H=4.5+
		7	2 / 5	6	15	100						H=3.0-4.5
	15											
		8	3 / 5	7	16	100						H=3.0-3.5
		9	3 / 5	7	16	100				●	×	H=2.0-2.5 G
547.3	20											
		10	2 / 2	5	10	100	Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay, interbedded with fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, trace fine gravel seams near bottom of stratum, moist to wet.					H=1.0-1.5
		11	1 / 2	5	10	100						H=0.5-1.5 G
	25											

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 29.0</u>	<u>▽ 30.0</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	<u>Inside Well</u>	G - Gradation	See	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
DATE: <u>4/15/09</u>	<u>4/16/09</u>	Q - Uncon Comp	Separate	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
		T - Triax Comp	Curves	D - Relative Dens (%)
		C - Consol.		
				Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b>
				Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0902  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 567.8 DATE: 4/15/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 40.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS		
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT		PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT			
	25							10	20	30	40			
539.3	12		SH	2 / 4	8	80	Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay, interbedded with fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, trace fine gravel seams near bottom of stratum, moist to wet.		×	×	●		H=0.5-0.75	
536.8	13			1 / 3 / 2	7	80	Loose brown fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, interbedded with very-soft silty clay, wet.							
	14			2 / 4 / 10	19	80	Medium-dense brown fine to coarse sand, little fine gravel, trace silt, wet.							G
	15			4 / 6 / 7	18	47								
	16			3 / 4 / 9	18	93								
527.8	17			4 / 6 / 11	23	80								
	27.0						- Encountered seepage at 27.0'. - Encountered water at 29.0'. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP. - Borehole converted to observation well upon completion. See separate well log.							

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 29.0</u>	<u>▼ 30.0</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	<u>Inside Well</u>	G - Gradation	See	<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
DATE: <u>4/15/09</u>	<u>4/16/09</u>	Q - Uncon Comp	-Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
		T - Triax Comp		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
		C - Consol.		D - Relative Dens (%)
				<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0903**  
**AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND**  
**CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: **See Plate 2 of Appendix A** ELEVATION: **598.6** DATE: **4/10/09 - 4/13/09**  
 DRILLING METHOD: **4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger** COMPLETION DEPTH: **65.0'**  
 SAMPLER(S): **2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler**

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT			
596.1		1	2 / 1 / 2		4	53	FILL: Cinders, dark-gray fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, trace fine gravel, little silt, dry.					
		2	2 / 2 / 5		10	60	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown and dark-brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, contains zones with trace fine gravel, contains pockets of bottom ash, few pockets of silt near bottom of stratum, damp becoming moist at 21.0'.					H=1.25-3.5
	5	3	3 / 4 / 5		12	87				×	●	×
		4	P			13						
		5	6 / 7 / 9		22	100				●		H=4.0
	10	6	3 / 4 / 5		12	73						H=2.0-4.0
		7	5 / 7 / 9		22	67				●	×	H=2.5-3.0
		8	5 / 6 / 7		18	73						H=2.0-3.0
		9	2 / 4 / 6		14	60						H=1.5-2.5
	15	10	4 / 7 / 10		23	67				●	×	LL=52% H=2.5-3.5 G
		11	3 / 4 / 6		14	73						H=1.5-2.0
		12A	4 / 5 / 7		16							H=2.0-2.5
		12B										
		12C										H=1.75-2.5
	20	13	4 / 6 / 8		19	73						H=2.5-3.0
		14	3 / 5 / 7		16	100				×	●	H=2.5
		15	3 / 6 / 9		21	87						H=2.5-3.5
573.9		16A	5 / 6 / 8		19	100						H=3.5-4.0
	25	16B				100						H=4.5+

WATER LEVEL: ▽ <b>60.3</b>	▽ <b>53.2</b>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <b>Inside HSA</b>	<b>Inside Well</b>	G - Gradation	See	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
DATE: <b>4/13/09</b>	<b>4/14/09</b>	Q - Uncon Comp	Separate	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
		T - Triax Comp	Curves	D - Relative Dens (%)
		C - Consol.		<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
				<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0903  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 598.6 DATE: 4/10/09 - 4/13/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 65.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/NG60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT			LIQUID LIMIT	
								10	20	30	40	
568.1	25						FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, slightly organic, thin seam of gray mottled with dark-gray silt at top of stratum, moist.					H=3.0-4.0
		17	9 / 14 / 14		38	33						
		18	3 / 7 / 10		23	93			●	×	×	H=3.5-4.0
		19	7 / 12 / 13		34	80						H=2.75-3.5
565.6	30						FILL: Hard brown silty clay intermixed with gray organic silt, trace fine to medium sand, moist.					H=3.5-4.5
		20	3 / 6 / 9		21	100						
		21	3 / 6 / 10		22	80	FILL: Hard brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, moist.		●	×	×	H=2.5-3.5 G
	35											
		22	4 / 9 / 13		30	100						H=3.0-4.5
559.6		23A	4 / 9 / 11		27	100						H=3.0-4.0
		23B				100	Stiff to hard brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, few lenses of silt and seams of fine sand near bottom of stratum, moist.					H=4.5+
	40											
		24	4 / 6 / 9		21	100			×	●	×	LL=53% H=2.0-3.5 G
		25	2 / 6 / 9		21	100						H=2.0-4.0
	45											
550.6		26	2 / 5 / 8		18	100			×	●	×	H=2.0-2.5
		27	1 / 4 / 5		12	100	Stiff brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, few lenses of silt and fine sand, moist.					H=1.0-1.75
	50											

WATER LEVEL: ▽ <u>60.3</u> ▼ <u>53.2</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u> <u>Inside Well</u>	G - Gradation	See	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
DATE: <u>4/13/09</u> <u>4/14/09</u>	Q - Uncon Comp	-Separate Curves	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
	T - Triax Comp		D - Relative Dens (%)
	C - Consol.		
			<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
			<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0903  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: **See Plate 2 of Appendix A** ELEVATION: **598.6** DATE: **4/10/09 - 4/13/09**  
 DRILLING METHOD: **4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger** COMPLETION DEPTH: **65.0'**  
 SAMPLER(S): **2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler**

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
								10	20	30	40	
548.1	50											
	28		1 / 3 / 3		8	100	Loose brown silt interbedded with silty clay, trace to little fine to medium sand, contains seams of fine sand, moist to wet.					H=0.5-1.0
	29		1 / 2 / 3		7	100						H=0.0-0.5
543.1	55											
	30		SH / 2 / 3		7	100	Very-soft to medium-stiff brown mottled with gray and dark-gray silty clay, trace to little fine sand, few lenses of silt and fine sand, contains decayed vegetation, wet.					H=0.8 G
	31		2 / 5 / 3		11	100						H=0.2-0.4
538.1	60											
	32		1 / 5 / 6		15	100	Medium-dense brown and gray fine sand interbedded with silty clay and silt, trace fine to medium sand, wet.					
535.6												
	33		3 / 4 / 6		14	100	Medium-dense gray silt interbedded with silty clay, little fine sand, few seams of fine sand, wet.					H=1.5
533.6	65											
	75											

- Encountered water at 55.3'.  
 - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP.  
 - Borehole converted to observation well upon completion. See separate well log.

WATER LEVEL: $\nabla$ <b>60.3</b>	$\nabla$ <b>53.2</b>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <b>Inside HSA</b>	<b>Inside Well</b>		<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
DATE: <b>4/13/09</b>	<b>4/14/09</b>		<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-PZ-BAP-0904  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 559.8 DATE: 4/16/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 40.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT →					
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT			
								10	20	30	40		
558.8	0						TOPSOIL - 12 INCHES						
557.8	1	1	24/36	14	68	67	FILL: Very-dense gray fine to coarse gravel "and" fine to coarse sand, some silt, dry.						
	2	2	3/5	8	18	80	Very-stiff gray mottled with brown and brown mottled with gray silty clay, little to some fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, dry.					H=2.0-3.0	
	3	3	2/3	5	11	80			●		×	H=2.25-2.75	
	4	4	4/4	6	14	100						H=2.5-3.0	
552.8	5		SH	2/3	7	87	Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, moist.			×	●	×	H=0.50-1.0 G
	6		P										
548.8	7	7	3/4	7	15	100	Stiff to very-stiff brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, little to some fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, dry.		×	●		×	H=2.5-3.25
	8	8	2/3	4	10	80							H=1.5-2.5
543.8	9	9	SH=14"	2		100	Very-soft to soft brown mottled with gray silty clay, interbedded with fine to medium sand, contains fine to medium sand seams and lenses, damp to moist becoming wet.				●		H=0.25-0.5
	10	10	SH	1	1	87			×	●	×	H=0.0	
	11	11	SR	2/2	5	67							H=0.0
536.3	12	12	SH	1/3	5	73	Very-soft to stiff gray silty clay, interbedded with fine to medium sand and silt seams/thin layers, wet.		×	●	×		H=0.25-1.0

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 23.1</u>	WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	DATE: <u>4/16/09</u>	▽ <u>17.5</u>	DATE: <u>4/17/09</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS		Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b>
					G - Gradation	See	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
					Q - Uncon Comp	Separate	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
					T - Triax Comp	Curves	D - Relative Dens (%)
					C - Consol.		Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b>
							Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>





**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0905  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 600.0 DATE: 4/14/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 65.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT			
597.5		1	4 / 6 / 5		15	100	FILL: Medium-dense bottom ash.					H=4.0-4.5+
		2	6 / 7 / 8		21	100	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace to some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, contains zones with trace fine gravel, dry to damp becoming moist at 16.0'.					H=4.0-4.5+
	5	3	4 / 4 / 6		14	73			●	×		H=3.5-4.0
		4	4 / 6 / 7		18	80						H=2.5-3.25
		5	2 / 3 / 5		11	80						H=2.5-2.75
		6	3 / 4 / 5		12	100						H=2.0-2.5
	10	7	7 / 7 / 8		21	87						H=2.0-4.0
		8	3 / 4 / 7		15	100			●	×		H=3.25-4.0 G
		9	3 / 4 / 7		15	87						H=1.5-3.0
	15	10	7 / 8 / 9		23	33			●	×		H=2.0-2.5
		11	2 / 4 / 7		15	87						H=3.0-4.5
		12	3 / 5 / 7		16	100						H=3.0-3.5
	20	13	6 / 9 / 12		29	67			●			H=2.75-4.0
		14	P			100			●	×		H=3.5 G
		15	5 / 7 / 8		21	87						H=3.5-4.5
	25	16	3 / 5 / 7		16	67						H=4.5+

WATER LEVEL: <u>57.5</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>		<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
DATE: <u>4/14/09</u>		<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0905  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 600.0 DATE: 4/14/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 65.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/NG60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
							NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
	25						10	20	30	40	
		17	7 / 8 / 9	23	67	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace to some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, contains zones with trace fine gravel, dry to damp becoming moist at 16.0'.		●		×	H=3.75-4.25 G
		18	4 / 6 / 8	19	100						H=3.0-4.5+
		19	8 / 11 / 13	33	100			●			H=4.0-4.5
569.5	30										
		20	2 / 4 / 7	15	100	Very-stiff to hard dark-brown mottled with gray silty clay, some fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, moist.		●		×	H=2.0-4.0
567.0											
		21	2 / 4 / 6	14	100	Very-stiff brown silty clay "and" fine to coarse sand, moist.					H=2.5-4.0 G
564.5	35										
		22	2 / 2 / 4	8	100	Stiff brown clayey silt, some fine sand, trace medium sand, moist.		●		×	H=1.0 G
562.0											
		23	2 / 4 / 5	12	100	Stiff to very-stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, contains lenses of silt near bottom of stratum, moist.					H=2.0-2.5
	40										
		24	4 / 5 / 7	16	100						H=1.5-2.0
		25	2 / 3 / 4	10	100			●		×	H=1.0-2.0 G
	45										
		26	1 / 2 / 4	8	100						H=1.0-1.5
		27	1 / 2 / 3	7	100			●		×	H=1.0-1.5
	50										

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 57.5</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b> <b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b> <b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	G - Gradation Q - Uncon Comp T - Triax Comp C - Consol.	
DATE: <u>4/14/09</u>	See Separate Curves H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	

LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0905  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 600.0 DATE: 4/14/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 65.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS		
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT						
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT				
								10	20	30	40			
549.5	50						Very-loose brown fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, some silt, contains seams of brown silt and seams of silty clay, wet.					H=0.5		
		28	1	1/2	4	100								
		29	1	1/1	3	100							G	
	55													
		30	SH	SH	5	100								
		31	2	2/2	5	100								
	60													
		32	2	2/6	11	100								
537.0														
536.1		33A	2	3/	11	100	Loose to medium-dense gray fine to medium sand, trace silt, iron oxide stains, wet.							
535.0		33B	2	3/5		100	Loose to medium-dense gray silt interbedded with fine sand and silty clay seams, wet.							
	65						- Encountered water at 51.3'. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP. - Boring backfilled with grout upon completion.							
	75													

WATER LEVEL: 57.5  
 WATER NOTE: Inside HSA  
 DATE: 4/14/09

SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS				
G - Gradation	} See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82	
Q - Uncon Comp		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)		Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07
T - Triax Comp		D - Relative Dens (%)		Drill Rig Number : D50
C - Consol.				

Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82  
 Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07  
 Drill Rig Number : D50

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0906  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 569.5 DATE: 4/17/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 35.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/NG60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT					
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT			
								10	20	30	40		
568.5							TOPSOIL - 12 INCHES						
		1	3 / 2 / 3		7	33	Loose brown fine to medium sand, some silty clay, dry.					H=1.0-1.5	
		2	1 / 3 / 4		10	53						H=1.0-1.25	
		3	1 / 2 / 4		8	100						H=1.0-1.75	
564.0	5						Very-stiff brown silty clay, some fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, dry.		●	×		H=2.25-3.75	
562.5		4	2 / 4 / 5		12	80						G	
		5	3 / 4 / 6		14	100	Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, dry.					H=3.25-4.0	
		6	3 / 5 / 7		16	100			●		×	H=3.0-3.75	
	-10												
		7	1 / 2 / 4		8	100						H=1.5-2.5	
556.5							Soft to medium-stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace to little fine to medium sand, many silt lenses near bottom of stratum, dry becoming damp.			×	●	×	H=0.5-0.75
	-15	8	SH / 1 / 2		4	87							
		9	SH / 2 / 3		7	93						H=0.25-0.75	
		10	SH / 1 / 1		3	100			×	●	×	H=0.25 G	
	-20												
548.5		11	SH / SH / 1		1	67	Very-loose brown fine to medium sand, interbedded with very-soft to medium-stiff silty clay, wet.						H=0.0
		12	SH / 1 / 3		5	47							
	-25												

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 21.2</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>		<b>Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07</b>
DATE: <u>4/17/09</u>		<b>Drill Rig Number : D50</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0906  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 569.5 DATE: 4/17/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 35.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler, 3" O.D. Shelby Tube Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT →				
	25							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
								10	20	30	40	
		13	SH	2 / 3	7		Very-loose brown fine to medium sand, interbedded with very-soft to medium-stiff silty clay, wet.					
		14		1 / 2	4	100						G
	30	15	SH	1 / 2	4	87						H=0.5
		16		1 / 2	5	80						H=0.75
534.5	35											
							- Encountered seepage at 18.5'. - Encountered water at 21.0'. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP. - Boring backfilled with grout upon completion.					

WATER LEVEL: ▾ <u>21.2</u> ▾ WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u> DATE: <u>4/17/09</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b> Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b> Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>
---	---	--

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0907  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 599.4 DATE: 4/14/09 - 4/15/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 60.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT →				
	0							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
								10	20	30	40	
596.9	1	9	9	11	27	100	FILL: Medium-dense bottom ash.					H=4.0-4.5+
	2	7	8	11	26	100	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, iron oxide stains near top of stratum, dry to damp.					H=4.0-4.5+
	3	3	5	7	16	100			●		×	H=3.5-4.5+
592.4	4	6	8	8	22	100						H=1.0-2.0
	5	2	3	6	12	100	FILL: Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, damp becoming moist at 17.5'.					H=2.0-3.5
	6	3	5	6	15	100			●		×	H=3.0-3.5
	7	6	7	8	21	100						H=3.0-3.5
	8	2	5	6	15	80						H=2.5-3.5
	9	2	4	5	12	73			●		×	H=2.5-3.5 G
	10	5	7	6	18	87						H=2.0-3.5
	11	3	4	6	14	80						H=1.5-2.5
	12	P							●		×	G H=2.0-2.5
	13	2	4	5	12	87						H=2.5-3.5
	14	2	2	6	11	67						H=2.0-2.5
	15	6	7	9	22	7						H=2.5-4.0
	16	2	4	6	14	100						H=2.0-3.5

WATER LEVEL: ▽ "Dry" ▽  
 WATER NOTE: Inside HSA  
 DATE: 4/15/09

SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS

G - Gradation	See	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
Q - Uncon Comp	Separate	W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
T - Triax Comp	Curves	D - Relative Dens (%)
C - Consol.		

**Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82**  
**Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07**  
**Drill Rig Number : D50**

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0907  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 599.4 DATE: 4/14/09 - 4/15/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 60.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS		
							← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT						
							PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT				
							10	20	30	40			
	25					FILL: Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, damp becoming moist at 17.5'.							
	17	8 / 11 / 11		30	100				●		×	H=2.5-3.5	
	18	3 / 5 / 7		16	100							H=2.0-4.0	
	19	2 / 5 / 6		15	100							H=3.5-4.5	
	30												
	20	3 / 5 / 6		15	100							H=2.0-3.5	
	21	4 / 7 / 8		21	100				●	×	×	H=3.5-4.5 G	
563.9	35						Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace to little fine to coarse sand, moist.						
	22	4 / 7 / 10		23	100								H=4.5+
	23	4 / 6 / 8		19	100					●	×	×	H=4.5+ G
	40												
	24	1 / 2 / 5		10	80							H=2.5-4.5	
	25	4 / 6 / 11		23	100			●	×	×	H=3.5-4.5		
553.4	45					Stiff to very-stiff brown silty clay, some to "and" fine to coarse sand, contains zone of brown fine to medium sand, moist.							
	26	2 / 5 / 7		16	100				●	×		H=2.0-3.5 G	
	27	2 / 5 / 6		15	100							H=2.0	

WATER LEVEL: ▽ "Dry" ▽  
 WATER NOTE: Inside HSA  
 DATE: 4/15/09

SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS

G - Gradation	} See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
Q - Uncon Comp		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
T - Triax Comp		D - Relative Dens (%)
C - Consol.		

**Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.82**  
**Last Calibration Date : 11/19/07**  
**Drill Rig Number : D50**

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0907  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 599.4 DATE: 4/14/09 - 4/15/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 60.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 11497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT		
546.4	50	28	2	2/4	8	80	Stiff to hard brown silty clay, some to "and" fine to coarse sand, contains zone of brown fine to medium sand, moist.					H=1.5-2.0 G
		29	1	2/6	11	67	Stiff brown mottled with gray silty clay interbedded with fine to medium sand and silt seams, iron oxide stains near bottom of stratum, moist.					H=1.0-1.5
542.4	55	30	SH	1/2	4	80	Loose brown fine to medium sand, interbedded with silt and silty clay seams, iron oxide stains, moist..					H=1.0-1.5
539.9		31A	1	2/5	10	100	Medium-stiff gray silty clay "and" fine sand, iron oxide stains, moist.					H=0.5-1.0
539.4	60	31B				100	Medium-stiff gray silty clay "and" fine sand, iron oxide stains, moist.					H=0.5-1.0
							- Encountered seepage at 48.0'. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP. - Boring backfilled with grout upon completion.					
	65											
	70											
	75											

WATER LEVEL: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "Dry" <input type="checkbox"/>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.82</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>		Last Calibration Date : <b>11/19/07</b>
DATE: <u>4/15/09</u>		Drill Rig Number : <b>D50</b>



**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0908  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 588.6 DATE: 10/28/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 55.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT			
								10	20	30	40	
588.3	0						<b>ASH/BOILER SLAG</b>					
		01	5 / 5 / 5	5	14	100	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with dark brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, damp.					H=3.25-4.5+
		02	2 / 4 / 4	4	11	87						H=3.75-4.5
		03	3 / 4 / 5	5	13	93						H=3.5
		04	P									T H=3.5
		05	3 / 3 / 6	6	13	100						H=3.25-4.5
575.6		06	2 / 4 / 5	5	13	100	FILL: Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, few lenses of silt.					H=3.25-4.5 G
		07	3 / 4 / 6	6	14	100						H=3.25-4.5+
		08	5 / 8 / 11	11	27	100						H=4.5+
		09	4 / 6 / 7	7	18	100						H=4.5+
		10	P									H=4.5+

WATER LEVEL: 50.1   
 WATER NOTE: Inside HSA  
 DATE: 10/28/09

SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS

G - Gradation	} See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
Q - Uncon Comp		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
T - Triax Comp		D - Relative Dens (%)
C - Consol.		

Drill Rod Energy Ratio : **0.85**  
 Last Calibration Date : **02/17/09**  
 Drill Rig Number : **ATV 550X**

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0908  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: **See Plate 2 of Appendix A** ELEVATION: **588.6** DATE: **10/28/09**  
 DRILLING METHOD: **3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger** COMPLETION DEPTH: **55.0'**  
 SAMPLER(S): **2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler**

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT					
								PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT				
								10	20	30	40		
562.7		11A	4	6/9	21	100	Dark-gray silt, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, slightly organic, damp.					H=4.5+	
		11B					Hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, damp.					H=4.5+	
559.5		12A	2	3/4	10	100						H=4.5+	
		12B					Hard dark-brown mottled with gray and brown and gray silty clay, trace fine sand, few lenses of silt, damp.					H=4.5+	
556.9		13A	3	7/9	23	100			●	×		H=4.5+ G	
		13B					Very-stiff to hard brown silty clay, little fine sand, few lenses of silt, damp.					H=4.5+	
		14			20	100						H=4.5+	
		15			18	100						H=3.1-3.4	
553.1		16	3	5/7	17	100	Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, little fine sand, few lenses of fine sand and silt, moist.					H=4.3	
		17			14	100						H=2.7-3.3	
550.1		18	2	3/4	10	100	Stiff to very-stiff brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay interbedded with fine sand and silt, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, moist becoming wet.		×	●	×	H=1.8 G	
		19			9	100						H=2.0	
547.1		20	1	3/5	11	100	Stiff to very-stiff brown silty clay, little to some fine to medium sand, few lenses of fine sand and silt near top of stratum, moist becoming wet.					H=2.4-3.1	
		21	P									H=1.5	
543.0		22A	4	5/4	13	100	Medium-dense brown and dark-brown fine sand, trace medium sand, trace silt, few silty clay seams.						
		22B											
540.9		23A	7	11/9	28	100	Medium-dense brown and dark-brown fine to coarse sand, some fine gravel, trace silt.						
		23B											
		3											

WATER LEVEL: <b>50.1</b>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation See Q - Uncon Comp Separate T - Triax Comp Curves C - Consol.	H - Penetrometer (tsf)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.85</b> <b>Last Calibration Date : 02/17/09</b> <b>Drill Rig Number : ATV 550X</b>
WATER NOTE: <b>Inside HSA</b>		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)	
DATE: <b>10/28/09</b>		D - Relative Dens (%)	

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0908  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 588.6 DATE: 10/28/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 55.0'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/NG0 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT		PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT	
	50	24		6 / 9	21	93	Medium-dense brown and dark-brown fine to coarse sand, some fine gravel, trace silt.					
		25		1 / 2 / 7	13	53						
		26	WR	2 / 8	14	100						
533.6	55											
							- Encountered seepage at 39.5'. - Encountered water at 46.1'. - Boring backfilled with cement-bentonite grout upon completion. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP.					
	60											
	65											
	70											
	75											

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 50.1</u> WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u> DATE: <u>10/28/09</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.85</b> Last Calibration Date : <b>02/17/09</b> Drill Rig Number : <b>ATV 550X</b>
--	---	---

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0909  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: **See Plate 2 of Appendix A** ELEVATION: **562.2** DATE: **10/29/09**  
 DRILLING METHOD: **3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger** COMPLETION DEPTH: **33.9'**  
 SAMPLER(S): **2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler**

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPI BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT					
								PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT				
								10	20	30	40		
	0						ROADWAY GRANULAR BASE - 29 INCHES						
559.7		01A	21	49	41	128							
559.2		02	2	4	4	11	Hard brown clayey silt, trace fine to coarse sand, slightly organic, damp.					H=4.2-4.5+	
		03	3	4	4	11	Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, damp.						
	5	04	2	2	3	7				×		H=3.25-3.5 G	
		05	2	2	3	7						H=2.75-3.25	
	10	06	P									H=2.5	
549.7		07	1	3	5	11				●		H=2.25-2.5 G	
		08	2	3	4	10	Stiff to very-stiff brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, few lenses of silt, moist.					H=1.75-2.25	
	15	09	1	3	3	9						H=1.5-2.25	
546.2		10	P				Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, few lenses of silt, moist.			●		T H=0.75	
544.7		11	WH-18"				Soft to medium-stiff brown silty clay, interbedded with silt, little fine sand, trace medium sand, wet.			×	●	G H=0.5-0.75	
542.7		12	WH-18"	1	2	4	Very-soft to soft brown silty clay interbedded with silt, trace fine sand, few lenses of fine sand, wet.			×	●	G H=0.25	
	20	13										H=0.25	
538.6		13A	WH-18"			4						H=0.25	
		13B	WH-18"	1	2	4						H=0.75	
	25	14					Soft to medium-stiff gray mottled with dark-gray and brown silty clay, little to some fine sand, few lenses of silt and fine sand, wet.					H=1.75-	

WATER LEVEL: $\nabla$ <b>20.4</b>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation Q - Uncon Comp T - Triax Comp C - Consol.	See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.85</b> Last Calibration Date : <b>02/17/09</b> Drill Rig Number : <b>ATV 550X</b>
WATER NOTE: <b>Inside HSA</b>			W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)	
DATE: <b>10/29/09</b>			D - Relative Dens (%)	

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0909  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 562.2 DATE: 10/29/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 33.9'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/N60 111497014.GPI BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT		PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT	
	25							10	20	30	40	
534.8	15	15A	WH	1	1		Soft to medium-stiff gray mottled with dark-gray and brown silty clay, little to some fine sand, few lenses of silt and fine sand, wet.					1.25
534.3	16A	16B	WH	2	7		Loose brown fine sand, trace medium sand, little silt, wet.					H=0.75 G
533.6	16C	17A	WH	3	3		Soft gray silty clay, trace fine sand, wet.					H=0.75 G
	17B		WH	4	10		Very-loose to loose gray fine sand, trace medium sand, little silt, wet.					H=0.3
	18	19A	WR	1	1							
530.1	19B		WR	3	17		Medium-dense to very-dense brown fine to medium sand, and fine to coarse gravel, trace coarse sand, trace silt, wet.					
528.3	20		WR	5	58							
	35						- Encountered water at 20.4'. - Boring backfilled with cement-bentonite grout upon completion. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP.					
	40											
	45											
	50											

WATER LEVEL: <u>20.4</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation } See Q - Uncon Comp } Separate T - Triax Comp } Curves C - Consol. } H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.85</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>		<b>Last Calibration Date : 02/17/09</b>
DATE: <u>10/29/09</u>		<b>Drill Rig Number : ATV 550X</b>

**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0910  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 565.4 DATE: 10/29/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 35.5'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/ N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT				
								PLASTIC LIMIT			LIQUID LIMIT	
								10	20	30	40	
565.1	0						GRAVEL - 3 INCHES					
564.0		1	30	12	31	27	FILL: Hard brown silty clay, intermixed with dark-gray silt, trace fine to coarse sand, slightly organic, damp.					H=4.5+
		2	4	5	16	57	Very-stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, few lenses of silt.					H=3.75
	5	3	4	5	13	53						H=2.5-2.75
		4	P									
		5	4	5	14	100						H=2.5-3.25
	10		P									H=2.75
553.4		7	2	3	10	100	Stiff to very-stiff dark-brown mottled with brown and gray silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, few lenses of silt, moist.					H=1.8-2.3
		8	2	2	9	100						H=1.7-2.2
550.6	15	9	1	1	4	100	Medium-stiff to stiff brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand, few lenses and seams of silt, moist.					H=0.75-1.25
548.4		10	P				Soft to medium-stiff brown clayey silt, "and" fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, few lenses of fine sand and silt.					H=0.7-1.1
546.0		11	WH	1	3	100	Very-soft to soft brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand, few lenses and seams of fine sand, many lenses of silt near top of stratum, wet.					H=0.5
543.4		12	WH	1	3	100						H=0.25-0.5
541.9		13	WH	1	3	100	Very-loose brown fine sand interbedded with silty clay, trace medium to coarse sand, wet.					H=0.2-0.3
		14	WH-18"			100	Soft brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace to little fine to medium sand, few lenses of fine sand.					H=0.25-0.3

WATER LEVEL: <u>2.5</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS G - Gradation See Q - Uncon Comp Separate T - Triax Comp Curves C - Consol.	H - Penetrometer (tsf)	<b>Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.85</b> <b>Last Calibration Date : 02/17/09</b> <b>Drill Rig Number : ATV 550X</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)	
DATE: <u>10/29/09</u>		D - Relative Dens (%)	



**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0911  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 565.9 DATE: 10/30/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 40.5'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W/N60 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								← NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT					
								PLASTIC LIMIT	LIQUID LIMIT				
								10	20	30	40		
565.4	0						TOPSOIL/ROOTMAT - 6 INCHES						
		1	5 / 8 / 9		24		Hard brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand, dry becoming damp.					H=4.5+	
		2	5 / 6 / 8		20								H=4.5+
		3	5 / 6 / 6		17								H=4.5+
		4	6 / 9 / 8		24								H=4.5+
		5	4 / 5 / 5		14								H=4.0-4.5+
557.5		6	2 / 2 / 4		9		Medium-stiff to stiff brown silty clay, some to "and" fine sand, damp becoming moist.		●	×		H=1.5-2.25	
		7	P										H=0.9
		8	2 / 3 / 3		9			Stiff brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, few lenses of silt, moist.					H=1.5
		9	1 / 2 / 4		9								H=1.25-1.75
		10	P										H=1.25
548.3		11	WR / WH / 2		3		Soft to medium-stiff brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace to little fine sand, trace medium sand, few lenses of silt, wet.		×	●	×	H=0.75 G	
		12	WH / 2 / 2		6								H=0.3-0.5
544.3		13A	WH-18"				Very-soft to soft gray mottled with brown silty clay, little fine sand, trace medium sand, many lenses of silt, wet.		×	●	×	H=0.1-0.2 G	
		13B											H=0.0-0.3
		14	WR / WH / 3		4								H=0.0-0.3
541.9		15A	WH / 1 / 3		6		Medium-stiff to stiff gray mottled with brown silty clay, little fine sand, many lenses of silt,					H=0.6-1.2	

WATER LEVEL: <u>▽ 29.5</u>	SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS	Drill Rod Energy Ratio : <b>0.85</b> Last Calibration Date : <b>02/17/09</b> Drill Rig Number : <b>ATV 550X</b>
WATER NOTE: <u>Inside HSA</u>	G - Gradation Q - Uncon Comp T - Triax Comp C - Consol.	
DATE: <u>10/30/09</u>	See Separate Curves H - Penetrometer (tsf) W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf) D - Relative Dens (%)	



**LOG OF BORING NO. GV-BAP-0911  
AEP GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND  
CHESHIRE, OHIO**



LOCATION: See Plate 2 of Appendix A ELEVATION: 565.9 DATE: 10/30/09  
 DRILLING METHOD: 3-1/4" I.D. Hollow-stem Auger COMPLETION DEPTH: 40.5'  
 SAMPLER(S): 2" O.D. Split-barrel Sampler

2009 NEW DEFAULT BORING LOG-W\N60 11497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 3/16/10

ELEV.	DEPTH, FEET	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE	SAMPLE EFFORT	N <sub>60</sub>	SAMPLE REC-%	DESCRIPTION	NATURAL CONSISTENCY INDEX				TEST RESULTS	
								NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT					
								PLASTIC LIMIT		LIQUID LIMIT			
540.7	25	15B	WH	1/2			wet.						
		16	WH	2	3		Very-soft brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay interbedded with silt and fine sand, trace medium sand, wet.					H=0.2 G	
538.9		17	WH	1/2	4		Very-loose gray, brown, and red-brown silt interbedded with silty clay, trace fine sand, few seams of fine sand, wet.					H=0.3-0.4	
537.4		18	WH	1/2	4		Medium-stiff gray silty clay, interbedded with silt and fine sand, contains decayed vegetation, wet.					H=0.6-0.8	
535.7	30	19A	WH	2/2	6		Very-loose to loose gray silt interbedded with silty clay and fine sand, trace medium sand, wet.					H=0.1	
		19B	WR	2/2	6								
532.9		20	WH	3/2	3							H=0.1 G	
		21	WH	1/2	4		Medium-stiff gray clayey silt, trace fine sand, few lenses of silt and fine sand, wet.					H=0.8	
530.2	35	22A	WH	1/7	11							H=0.6	
		22B	WH	2/7	10		Medium-dense brown fine to medium sand, trace coarse sand, trace fine gravel, trace silt, wet.						
		23	WH	2/5	10								
		24A	WH	3/7	14								
		24B	WH	6/7	26								
525.4	40	25	WH	6/12	26								
							- Encountered seepage at 17.6'. - Boring backfilled with cement-bentonite grout upon completion. - Boring location and elevation surveyed by AEP.						

WATER LEVEL: 29.5  
 WATER NOTE: Inside HSA  
 DATE: 10/30/09

SYMBOLS USED TO INDICATE TEST RESULTS

G - Gradation	} See Separate Curves	H - Penetrometer (tsf)
Q - Uncon Comp		W - Unit Dry Wt (pcf)
T - Triax Comp		D - Relative Dens (%)
C - Consol.		

**Drill Rod Energy Ratio : 0.85**  
**Last Calibration Date : 02/17/09**  
**Drill Rig Number : ATV 550X**

## **Appendix III – 2009/2010 Laboratory Testing Results**

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G <sup>int</sup> Id.	MC %	LL %	PL %	PI %	GRADATION			COMPACTION			TRIAxIAL				DIRECT SHEAR			UNCOMPRESS COMPRESSION	CONSOLID.	GRAVITY SPECIFIC	UNIT WGT PCF	REMOULDED	PERMEABILITY				RELATIVE DENSITY	L LOI %	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R			
						sieve	Hydrometer		standard	modified	undrained	consolid.	drained	drained	undrained	residual	non-cohesive	fine						cohesive	flow	water	fall						time		
							short	long											coarse	fine															
						* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES																													
BAP-0901	4.75	19																																	
BAP-0901	7.50	20	41	22	19	*					*															104.4						*			
BAP-0901	12.75	23	48	23	25	*																													
BAP-0901	17.25	22	53	25	28	*																													
BAP-0901	19.75	21	42	22	20	*						*																			*			*	
BAP-0901	20.50	22																																	
BAP-0901	26.75	16	34	17	17	*																													
BAP-0901	31.75	18	38	20	18	*																													
BAP-0901	34.25	15	29	18	11	*																													
BAP-0901	39.25	18	26	18	8	*																													
BAP-0901	44.25	23	30	21	9	*																													
BAP-0901	46.75	25																																	
BAP-0901	49.45	29	24	19	5																														
BAP-0901	51.35					*																													
BAP-0901	54.25					*																													
BAP-0902	7.75	20	41	20	21																														
BAP-0902	11.75	18	35	20	15																														
BAP-0902	19.25	22	32	21	11	*																													
BAP-0902	24.25					*																													
BAP-0902	26.75	30	21	16	5																														



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD

PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO.: 011.11497.014     DATE: 6/2/09

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G <sup>int</sup> Id.	MC	LL	PL	PI	GRADATION		COMPACTION		TRIAxIAL			DIRECT SHEAR			UNCONSOLID. COMPRESSION	GRAVITY SPECIFIC	WEIGHT UNIT DRY	REMOLDED	PERMEABILITY			RELATIVE DENSITY	LOI	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R
						Sieve	Hydrometer	Standard	Modified	Undrained consolids.	cuw/ conp consolids	drained	drained	undrain	residual					cohesive	non/cohes	rigid					
																Short	Long	Standard	Modified				Undrained consolids.	cuw/ conp consolids	drained	drained	undrain
						* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES																					
BAP-0902	31.75						*																				
BAP-0903	4.75	23	38	21	17																						
BAP-0903	5.60																										
BAP-0903	8.25	20																									
BAP-0903	11.25	22	42	21	21																						
BAP-0903	15.75	23	52	24	28	*																					
BAP-0903	21.75	21	30	18	12																						
BAP-0903	27.75	19	41	22	19																						
BAP-0903	34.25	19	44	22	22	*																					
BAP-0903	41.75	24	53	22	31	*																					
BAP-0903	46.75	22	38	19	19																						
BAP-0903	51.75	25	24	19	5																						
BAP-0903	56.75	25	35	19	16	*																					
BAP-0904	4.75	24	44	22	22																						
BAP-0904	7.75	30	43	26	17	*																					
BAP-0904	11.75	23	42	20	22																						
BAP-0904	16.75	26																									
BAP-0904	19.25	28	30	20	10																						
BAP-0904	24.25	29	32	19	13	*																					
BAP-0904	29.25					*																					



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD

PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014 DATE 6/2/09

SUM REG 11497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/2/09

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G'int Id.	MC	LL	PL	PI	GRADATION		COMPACTION		TRIAxIAL			DIRECT SHEAR			UNCONSOLID. COMPRESS	GRAVITY SPECIFIC	UNIT WEIGHT DRY	REMOULDED	PERMEABILITY			RELATIVE DENSITY	LOI	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R							
						Sieve	Hydrometer	Standard	Modified	undrained	drained	cuw/condrap	undrained	drained	residual					cohesive	non-cohes	rigid						flexible	%	%	PCF	*	*	*
BAP-0904	34.25	29	32	19	13																													
BAP-0905	4.75	18	38	20	18																													
BAP-0905	12.25	20	43	23	20	*																												
BAP-0905	15.25	22	44	24	20																													
BAP-0905	19.75	21																																
BAP-0905	21.25	21	40	23	17	*																												
BAP-0905	26.25	18	39	19	20	*																												
BAP-0905	29.25	20																																
BAP-0905	31.75	17	29	18	11																													
BAP-0905	34.25					*																												
BAP-0905	36.75	19	28	18	10	*																												
BAP-0905	43.75	25	42	21	21	*																												
BAP-0905	49.25	28	38	22	16																													
BAP-0905	54.25					*																												
BAP-0906	6.25	18	35	20	15	*																												
BAP-0906	9.25	23	45	22	23																													
BAP-0906	14.25	25	33	21	12																													
BAP-0906	19.25	27	34	21	13	*																												
BAP-0906	29.25					*																												
BAP-0907	4.75	22	41	21	20																													

\* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD

PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014 DATE 6/2/09

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G'int Id.	MC	LL	PL	PI	GRADATION		COMPACTION		TRIAxIAL			DIRECT SHEAR			UNCONSOLIDATED		GRAVITY SPECIFIC		WEIGHT UNIT DRY	REMOULDED	PERMEABILITY			RELATIVE DENSITY	LOI	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R
						Sieve	Hydrometer	Standard	Modified	Undrained	Consolidated	CUW/Condrap	Drained	Undrained	Residual	Cohesive	Non Cohesive	Flow	Flow			Flow	%	%					
						* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES																							
BAP-0907	9.25	17	34	18	16																								
BAP-0907	13.75	18	32	16	16	*																							
BAP-0907	17.75	20	35	20	15	*				*															*				
BAP-0907	18.25	21								*																			
BAP-0907	26.25	20	41	20	21																								
BAP-0907	34.25	19	52	24	28	*																							
BAP-0907	39.25	21	47	24	23	*																							
BAP-0907	44.75	19	40	20	20																								
BAP-0907	47.25	18	31	17	14	*																							
BAP-0907	51.75	20	32	18	14	*																							
BAP-0907	56.75	24	31	18	13																								



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD


PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014 DATE 6/2/09

SUM REG 11497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/2/09

# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS



P L A S T I C I T Y I N D E X



Layer: EMBANKMENT FILL

Specimen Id.	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	Fines	ASTM Classification
● BAP-0905	4.75	18	38	20	18		
⊠ BAP-0905	12.25	20	43	23	20	95.9	LEAN CLAY CL
▲ BAP-0905	15.25	22	44	24	20		
★ BAP-0905	21.25	21	40	23	17	89.2	LEAN CLAY CL
⊙ BAP-0905	26.25	18	39	19	20	88.9	LEAN CLAY CL
⊕ BAP-0907	4.75	22	41	21	20		
○ BAP-0907	9.25	17	34	18	16		
△ BAP-0907	13.75	18	32	16	16	75.6	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊗ BAP-0907	17.75	20	35	20	15	80.6	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊕ BAP-0907	26.25	20	41	20	21		
□ BAP-0907	34.25	19	52	24	28	88.3	FAT CLAY CH
⊕ BAP-0901	7.50	20	41	22	19	91.8	LEAN CLAY CL
⊕ BAP-0901	12.75	23	48	23	25		
☆ BAP-0901	17.25	22	53	25	28	93.1	FAT CLAY CH
⊗ BAP-0901	19.75	21	42	22	20	91.2	LEAN CLAY CL
■ BAP-0902	7.75	20	41	20	21		
◆ BAP-0903	4.75	23	38	21	17		
◇ BAP-0903	11.25	22	42	21	21		
× BAP-0903	15.75	23	52	24	28	93.8	FAT CLAY CH
⊗ BAP-0903	21.75	21	30	18	12		


PROJECT	GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION		
LOCATION	CHESHIRE, OHIO		
JOB NO.	011.11497.014	DATE	6/9/09

ALPI-REG 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/9/09

# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS



P L A S T I C I T Y I N D E X



Layer: EMBANKMENT FILL

	Specimen Id.	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	Fines	ASTM Classification
●	BAP-0903	27.75	19	41	22	19		
☒	BAP-0903	34.25	19	44	22	22	88.4	LEAN CLAY CL

**PROJECT** GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
**LOCATION** CHESHIRE, OHIO  
**JOB NO.** 011.11497.014 **DATE** 6/9/09


ALPI-REG 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/9/09



# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS



P L A S T I C I T Y I N D E X



Layer: ALLUVIUM

Specimen Id.	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	Fines	ASTM Classification
● BAP-0905	31.75	17	29	18	11		
☒ BAP-0905	36.75	19	28	18	10	66.3	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
▲ BAP-0905	43.75	25	42	21	21	96.5	LEAN CLAY CL
★ BAP-0905	49.25	28	38	22	16		
⊙ BAP-0906	6.25	18	35	20	15	75.4	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊕ BAP-0906	9.25	23	45	22	23		
○ BAP-0906	14.25	25	33	21	12		
△ BAP-0906	19.25	27	34	21	13	87.1	LEAN CLAY CL
⊗ BAP-0907	39.25	21	47	24	23	92.4	LEAN CLAY CL
⊕ BAP-0907	44.75	19	40	20	20		
□ BAP-0907	47.25	18	31	17	14	69.1	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
⊗ BAP-0907	51.75	20	32	18	14	69.0	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
⊕ BAP-0907	56.75	24	31	18	13		
☆ BAP-0901	31.75	18	38	20	18		
⊗ BAP-0901	34.25	15	29	18	11	67.9	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
■ BAP-0901	39.25	18	26	18	8		
◆ BAP-0901	44.25	23	30	21	9	77.2	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
◇ BAP-0901	49.45	29	24	19	5		
× BAP-0902	11.75	18	35	20	15		
⊗ BAP-0902	19.25	22	32	21	11	88.8	LEAN CLAY CL


PROJECT	GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION		
LOCATION	CHESHIRE, OHIO		
JOB NO.	011.11497.014	DATE	6/9/09

ALPI-REG 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/9/09

# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS



P L A S T I C I T Y I N D E X




Layer: ALLUVIUM

Specimen Id.	Depth	MC	LL	PL	PI	Fines	ASTM Classification
● BAP-0902	26.75	30	21	16	5		
⊠ BAP-0903	41.75	24	53	22	31	92.9	FAT CLAY CH
▲ BAP-0903	46.75	22	38	19	19		
★ BAP-0903	51.75	25	24	19	5		
⊙ BAP-0903	56.75	25	35	19	16	86.8	LEAN CLAY CL
⊕ BAP-0904	4.75	24	44	22	22		
○ BAP-0904	7.75	30	43	26	17	96.2	LEAN CLAY CL
△ BAP-0904	11.75	23	42	20	22		
⊗ BAP-0904	19.25	28	30	20	10		
⊕ BAP-0904	24.25	29	32	19	13	76.4	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
□ BAP-0904	34.25	29	32	19	13		

**PROJECT** \_\_\_\_\_ **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION** \_\_\_\_\_  
**LOCATION** \_\_\_\_\_ **CHESHIRE, OHIO** \_\_\_\_\_  
**JOB NO.** \_\_\_\_\_ **011.11497.014** \_\_\_\_\_ **DATE** \_\_\_\_\_ **6/9/09** \_\_\_\_\_

ALPI-REG 111497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 6/9/09




BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	CC	Cu	
Specimen Identification - Depth										
● BAP-0901 S-5 II 7.0' to 7.9'	Hard brown and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to medium sand.									
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	MC%	LL	PL	PI	CC
● BAP-0901 S-5 II 7.0' to 7.9'	4.7500	0.1232	0.0181	0.0113	0.0	20	41	22	19	
						%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay	
						0.0	8.2	53.7	38.1	

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO. **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
		coarse	fine	Classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc
Specimen Identification - Depth ● BAP-0901 S-11 16.5' to 17.8' Brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, few lenses of silt and gray organic silt.												
Specimen Identification - Depth ● BAP-0901 S-11 16.5' to 17.8'												
		D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
		2.0000	0.1018	0.0112	0.0071		0.0	6.9	49.0	44.1		

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION


LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO.: 011.11497.014      DATE: 6/2/09



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0901 S-13 I 19.5' to 21.5'	Stiff to Hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0901 S-13 I 19.5' to 21.5'	4.7500	0.1522	0.0107	0.0067	0.0007	0.0	8.8	47.0	44.2		

<b>ASTM D422</b>	<b>GRADATION CURVE</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>
		GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION
		CHESHIRE, OHIO
	<b>JOB NO.</b>	<b>DATE</b>
	011.11497.014	6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0901	S-17	26.0' to 27.5'	Brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, little fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel.									
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0901	S-17	26.0' to 27.5'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay	
			12.5000	0.1899	0.0185	0.0112		0.1	13.9	50.3	35.7	

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO.: 011.11497.014      DATE: 6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu

Specimen Identification - Depth  
 ● BAP-0901 S-21 33.5' to 35.0' Brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand, few lenses of silt.

Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● BAP-0901 S-21 33.5' to 35.0'	2.0000	0.1845	0.0532	0.0345	0.0345	0.0	32.1	44.9	23.0

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO      JOB NO. 011.11497.014      DATE: 6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0901	S-25	43.5' to 45.0'	Brown mottled with dark-brown clayey silt, some fine sand, trace medium sand.									
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0901	S-25	43.5' to 45.0'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay	
			2.0000	0.1714	0.0396	0.0271	0.0	22.8	55.6	21.6		

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_      GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_      CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_      011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_      6/2/09






BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY												
		coarse	fine	Classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu							
Specimen Identification - Depth																				
● BAP-0901	S-28A	51.0'	to	51.7'	Gray mottled with brown silt inter-bedded with fine sand and silty clay, trace medium sand.															
Specimen Identification - Depth																				
● BAP-0901	S-28A	51.0'	to	51.7'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay						
					2.0000	0.1965	0.0769				0.0	40.9	59.1							

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO.: **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**





BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc
Specimen Identification - Depth											
● BAP-0902	S-9	18.5' to 20.0'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, little fine to coarse sand, desiccation.								
Specimen Identification - Depth											
● BAP-0902	S-9	18.5' to 20.0'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
			4.7500	0.1360	0.0212	0.0152	0.0	11.2	59.3	29.6	


ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014

DATE 6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY						
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu		
Specimen Identification - Depth														
●	BAP-0902	S-11	23.5' to 25.0'	Brown silty clay interbedded with fine to medium sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth														
●	BAP-0902	S-11	23.5' to 25.0'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
				2.0000	0.1891				0.0	37.9	62.1			

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION


LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO.: 011.11497.014      DATE: 6/2/09



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0902 S-14 31.0' to 32.2'	Brown fine to coarse sand, little fine gravel, trace silt.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0902 S-14 31.0' to 32.2'	19.0000	11.7255	1.8722	1.2198	0.2333	20.1	75.4	4.4		0.614	8.024

<b>ASTM D422</b>	<b>GRADATION CURVE</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>
		GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION
		CHESHIRE, OHIO
	<b>JOB NO.</b>	<b>DATE</b>
	011.11497.014	6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY									
		coarse	fine	Classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu				
Specimen Identification - Depth																	
●	BAP-0903	S-10	15.0' to 16.0'	Brown mottled with dark-gray and gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.									23	52	24	28	
Specimen Identification - Depth																	
●	BAP-0903	S-10	15.0' to 16.0'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay					
				4.7500	0.1039	0.0073	0.0045		0.0	6.2	42.0	51.7					

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_      GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_      CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_      011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_      6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY												
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu							
Specimen Identification - Depth																			
● BAP-0903	S-21	33.5' to 34.7'		D100	4.7500	D95	0.1689	D60	0.0129	D50	0.0079	D10	0.0	%Gravel	11.6	%Silt	46.9	%Clay	41.4
Classification																			
● BAP-0903 S-21 33.5' to 34.7' Brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, little fine to coarse sand.																			

## ASTM D422

### GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**  
 LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**  
 JOB NO.: **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**




BOULDERS	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0903 S-24 41.0' to 42.5'	Brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.											
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10							
● BAP-0903 S-24 41.0' to 42.5'	4.7500	0.1501	0.0086	0.0054	0.0	24	53	22	31			
							%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
							0.0	7.1	44.3	48.6		

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO.: **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**






GRN-REG

BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc

Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● BAP-0903 S-30 56.0' to 57.5'	2.0000	0.1557	0.0187	0.0113	0.0	13.2	51.1	35.8	

ASTM D422	GRADATION CURVE	PROJECT
		GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION
		LOCATION
		CHESHIRE, OHIO
		JOB NO.
		011.11497.014
		DATE
		6/2/09



BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc
Specimen Identification - Depth											
● BAP-0904	S-5	7.0' to 8.3'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.								
Specimen Identification - Depth											
● BAP-0904	S-5	7.0' to 8.3'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
			4.7500	0.0674	0.0084	0.0055	0.0	3.8	48.3	47.9	

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION CHESHIRE, OHIO 011.11497.014


DATE 6/2/09



HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES



GRN-REG

BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
		coarse	fine	Classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc
Specimen Identification - Depth ● BAP-0904 S-12 23.5' to 24.6' Gray silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium to coarse sand, contains silt and fine to medium sand lenses.												
Specimen Identification - Depth		D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0904 S-12	23.5' to 24.6'	4.7500	0.1866				0.0	23.6	76.4			


ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014

DATE 6/2/09




BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	CC	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification								
● BAP-0904 S-14 28.5' to 29.5'	Gray silty clay, little fine to medium sand.								
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Silt	%Clay	
● BAP-0904 S-14 28.5' to 29.5'	2.0000	0.1621				0.0	18.5	81.5	

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_ GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_ CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_ 011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_ 6/2/09




BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0905 S-8 11.5' to 13.0'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0905 S-8 11.5' to 13.0'	4.7500	0.0701	0.0095	0.0063	0.0	0.0	4.1	50.4	45.5		

**ASTM D422**

**GRADATION CURVE**

PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_  
 LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_  
 JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 011.11497.014  
 DATE 6/2/09




BOULDERS	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	Classification	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth												
● BAP-0905 ST-14 II 20.5' to 22.0'	Brown, dark-brown and gray silty clay, little fine to coarse sand.			21	40	23	17	10.8	44.5	44.7		
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10							
● BAP-0905 ST-14 II 20.5' to 22.0'	4.7500	0.1599	0.0111	0.0068	0.0							

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO. **011.11497.014**      DATE **6/2/09**



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth											
● BAP-0905 S-17 25.5' to 26.5'	Brown mottled with dark-brown and gray silty clay, little fine to medium sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0905 S-17 25.5' to 26.5'	2.0000	0.1439	0.0134	0.0082	0.0	11.1	47.5	41.3			

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**


JOB NO.: **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**



HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES  
3 2 1.5 1 3/4 1/2



P E R C E N T F I N E R B Y W E I G H T

BOULDERS COBBLES GRAVEL SAND SILT OR CLAY  
coarse fine coarse medium fine

Specimen Identification - Depth Classification MC% LL PL PI Cc Cu

● BAP-0905 S-21 33.5' to 35.0' Brown silty clay, "and" fine to coarse sand.

Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● BAP-0905 S-21 33.5' to 35.0'	2.0000	0.2185	0.0818			0.0	43.1		56.9

ASTM D422


GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION CHESHIRE, OHIO 011.11497.014

DATE 6/2/09






BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0905 S-22 36.0' to 37.5'	Brown clayey silt, some fine sand, trace medium sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0905 S-22 36.0' to 37.5'	2.0000	0.2068	0.0548	0.0333	0.0	33.7	40.9	25.4			

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO. **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0905 S-25 43.0' to 45.0'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, trace fine to medium sand, contains few silt lenses..										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● BAP-0905 S-25 43.0' to 45.0'	2.0000	0.0693	0.0134	0.0091	0.0	0.0	3.5	57.3	39.2		

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO


JOB NO.: 011.11497.014      DATE: 6/2/09



HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES  
3 2 1.5 1 3/4 1/2



PERCENT FINER BY WEIGHT

BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc

Specimen Identification - Depth		Classification										
● BAP-0905	S-29	53.5' to 55.0'	Brown silt, "and" fine to coarse sand.									

Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● BAP-0905 S-29	53.5' to 55.0'	2.0000	0.2447	0.0774	0.0	41.1	58.9		


ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014

DATE 6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY												
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu								
Specimen Identification - Depth																				
● BAP-0906	S-4	5.5' to 6.7'		Brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand.																
Specimen Identification - Depth																				
● BAP-0906	S-4	5.5' to 6.7'	D100	2.0000	D95	0.1934	D60	0.0390	D50	0.0236	D10	0.0	%Gravel	0.0	%Sand	24.6	%Silt	45.6	%Clay	29.8

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_      GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_      CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_      011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_      6/2/09




BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY						
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu	
Specimen Identification - Depth													
●	BAP-0906	S-10	18.5' to 20.0'	Brown silty clay, little fine to medium sand, contains many silt lenses.			27	34	21	13			
Specimen Identification - Depth													
●	BAP-0906	S-10	18.5' to 20.0'	2.0000	0.1462	0.0233	0.0149	0.0	12.9	56.8	30.3		

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT: **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**

LOCATION: **CHESHIRE, OHIO**

JOB NO.: **011.11497.014**      DATE: **6/2/09**




BOULDERS	COBBLES		GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth														
● BAP-0906 S-14 28.5' to 30.0'	Brown fine sand, trace medium sand, "and" silty clay.													
Specimen Identification - Depth														
● BAP-0906 S-14 28.5' to 30.0'	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay					
	2.0000	0.2729	0.1054	0.0848		0.0	55.7		44.3					

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_      GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_      CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_      011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_      6/2/09



BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY											
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu							
Specimen Identification - Depth																			
●	BAP-0907	S-9	13.0' to 14.1'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand.															
Specimen Identification - Depth																			
●	BAP-0907	S-9	13.0' to 14.1'	D100	2.0000	D95	0.1842	D60	0.0322	D50	0.0191	D10	0.0	%Gravel	24.4	%Silt	44.8	%Clay	30.8

ASTM D422


GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO

DATE 6/2/09

011.11497.014



BOULDERS	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
	coarse	fine	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
Specimen Identification - Depth	Classification										
● BAP-0907 S-12 I 17.5' to 19.1'	Brown mottled with gray silty clay, little fine to coarse sand.										
Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10		%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay	
● BAP-0907 S-12 I 17.5' to 19.1'	4.7500	0.1826	0.0186	0.0096	0.0		19.4	41.8	38.8		


**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_      GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_      CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_      011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_      6/2/09








BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc
Specimen Identification - Depth ● BAP-0907 S-23 38.5' to 40.0' Brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to medium sand.											
Specimen Identification - Depth ● BAP-0907 S-23 38.5' to 40.0' 2.0000 0.1265 0.0109 0.0068 0.0 7.6 48.6 43.8											

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT \_\_\_\_\_ GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION \_\_\_\_\_ CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. \_\_\_\_\_ 011.11497.014      DATE \_\_\_\_\_ 6/2/09



BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL			SAND			SILT OR CLAY										
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu						
Specimen Identification - Depth																		
● BAP-0907	S-26	46.5' to 48.0'	Brown silty clay, some fine sand, trace medium sand.															
Specimen Identification - Depth																		
● BAP-0907	S-26	46.5' to 48.0'	D100	2.0000	D95	0.2012	D60	0.0425	D50	0.0226	D10	0.0	%Gravel	30.9	%Silt	41.1	%Clay	28.0


<b>ASTM D422</b>	<b>GRADATION CURVE</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION
		<b>LOCATION</b>	CHESHIRE, OHIO
		<b>JOB NO.</b>	011.11497.014
		<b>DATE</b>	6/2/09



HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES  
3 2 1.5 1 3/4 1/2



PERCENT FINER BY WEIGHT

GRAIN SIZE IN MILLIMETERS

BOULDERS	COBBLES		GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	PL	PI	Cc

Specimen Identification - Depth

● BAP-0907 S-28 51.0' to 52.2' Brown silty clay, some fine to coarse sand.

Specimen Identification - Depth	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● BAP-0907 S-28 51.0' to 52.2'	2.0000	0.1928				0.0	31.0	69.0	

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014

DATE 6/2/09

JOB NUMBER : 011.11497.014

PROJECT : GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION : CHESHIRE, OHIO



LABORATORY LOG OF SHELBY TUBES

Boring : <b>BAP-0901</b>	Sample : <b>5</b>	Boring : <b>BAP-0901</b>	Sample : <b>13</b>	Boring : <b>BAP-0903</b>	Sample : <b>4</b>
Depth : <b>7.0' to 7.9'</b>	Recovery : <b>9.00"</b>	Depth : <b>19.5' to 21.5'</b>	Recovery : <b>16.50"</b>	Depth : <b>5.5' to 5.7'</b>	Recovery : <b>2.00"</b>
<p>NOTE: Tested with BAP-0901, S-13 AL/MA on Section II</p> <p>30.00" tube</p>	<p>NOTE: AL/MA on Section I</p> <p>30.00" tube</p>	<p>30.00" tube</p>			

LEGEND

- Consolidation, Incremental
- Consolidation, CRS
- Permeability, Vertical / Horizontal
- Swelling, Test
- Unconfined Compression Test
- Triaxial Compression Test
- Max
- H - Hand Penetrometer (tsf)
- Ds - Direct Shear
- LOI - Loss on Ignition
- AL - Atterberg Limits
- MA - Sieve/Hydrometer
- SG - Specific Gravity
- SL - Shrinkage Limit
- POR - Porosity
- UDW - Unit Dry Weight
- MC - Moisture Content
- DR - Relative Density
- S - Sieve

JOB NUMBER : 011.11497.014




PROJECT : GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION : CHESHIRE, OHIO



LABORATORY LOG OF SHELBY TUBES



Boring : <b>BAP-0905</b>	Sample : <b>14</b>	Boring : <b>BAP-0907</b>	Sample : <b>12</b>
Depth : <b>20.5' to 22.0'</b>	Recovery : <b>9.00"</b>	Depth : <b>17.5' to 19.1'</b>	Recovery : <b>14.00"</b>



**LEGEND**

- Consolidation, Incremental
- Consolidation, CRS
- Permeability, Vertical / Horizontal
- Swelling, Test
- Max
- Unconfined Compression Test
- Triaxial Compression Test

- H - Hand Penetrometer (tsf)
- Ds - Direct Shear
- LOI - Loss on Ignition
- AL - Atterberg Limits
- MA - Sieve/Hydrometer
- SG - Specific Gravity
- SL - Shrinkage Limit
- POR - Porosity
- UDW - Unit Dry Weight
- MC - Moisture Content
- DR - Relative Density
- S - Sieve



Sample No.	1	2	3	4	
Initial	Water Content, %	21.0	21.9	19.9	20.7
	Dry Density, pcf	105.6	104.8	107.6	109.2
	Saturation, %	95.1	97.0	94.7	99.7
	Void Ratio	0.5967	0.6080	0.5672	0.5719
	Diameter, in.	2.87	2.89	2.88	2.85
	Height, in.	5.60	5.59	5.59	5.59
At Test	Water Content, %	21.0	21.1	21.0	21.2
	Dry Density, pcf	107.7	106.9	110.1	113.1
	Saturation, %	100.5	98.9	106.8	112.6
	Void Ratio	0.5648	0.5762	0.5306	0.5183
	Diameter, in.	2.86	2.88	2.85	2.81
	Height, in.	5.55	5.51	5.55	5.54
Strain rate, in./min.	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	
Back Pressure, ksf	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	
Cell Pressure, ksf	8.5	11.2	7.9	11.5	
Fail. Stress, ksf	3.7	6.5	4.3	10.3	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	6.0	7.8	6.0	7.9	
Ult. Stress, ksf	3.7	6.5	4.3	7.9	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	6.0	7.7	6.0	8.6	
$\bar{\sigma}_1$ Failure, ksf	6.2	10.0	6.2	13.9	
$\bar{\sigma}_3$ Failure, ksf	2.5	3.5	1.9	3.7	

**Type of Test:**

CU with Pore Pressures

**Sample Type:** Shelby Tube

**Description:** FILL : Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse

LL= 41      PL= 22      PI= 19

**Assumed Specific Gravity=** 2.7

**Remarks:**

Figure 1

**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO

**Location:** BAP-0901 & BAP-0907






**Sample Number:** S5II,S13II,S12I&II      **Depth:** 7.0-21.5

Proj. No.: 011.11497.014

**Date Sampled:** 5/12/09

TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**



**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION

**Location:** BAP-0901 & BAP-0907

**Depth:** 7.0-21.5



**Sample Number:** S5II,S13II,S12I&II

**Project No.:** 011.11497.014

**Figure 2**

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**





Sample No.		1	2
Initial	Water Content, %	21.9	19.9
	Dry Density, pcf	104.8	107.6
	Saturation, %	97.0	94.7
	Void Ratio	0.6080	0.5672
	Diameter, in.	2.89	2.88
	Height, in.	5.59	5.59
At Test	Water Content, %	21.1	21.0
	Dry Density, pcf	106.9	110.1
	Saturation, %	98.9	106.8
	Void Ratio	0.5762	0.5306
	Diameter, in.	2.88	2.85
	Height, in.	5.51	5.55
Strain rate, in./min.		0.00	0.01
Back Pressure, ksf		5.76	5.76
Cell Pressure, ksf		11.23	7.92
Fail. Stress, ksf		6.51	4.32
Total Pore Pr., ksf		7.78	6.02
Ult. Stress, ksf		6.51	4.32
Total Pore Pr., ksf		7.73	6.00
$\bar{\sigma}_1$ Failure, ksf		9.97	6.22
$\bar{\sigma}_3$ Failure, ksf		3.46	1.90

**Type of Test:**

CU with Pore Pressures

**Sample Type:** Shelby Tube

**Description:** FILL : Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse

LL= 41      PL= 22      PI= 19

**Assumed Specific Gravity=** 2.7

**Remarks:**

**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO

**Location:** BAP-0901 & BAP-0907

**Sample Number:** S-13 II, S-12 I

**Depth:** 17.5-21.5






Proj. No.: 011.11497.014

**Date Sampled:** 5/12/09

TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**

Figure 1



**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION

**Location:** BAP-0901 & BAP-0907

**Depth:** 17.5-21.5

**Sample Number:** S-13 II, S-12 I

**Project No.:** 011.11497.014

**Figure 2**

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**

# PERMEABILITY TEST DATA AND COMPUTATION SHEET

## ((ASTM D-5084) FALLING HEAD, METHOD C)



Job Number: 011.11497.014  
 Project Name: Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
 Project Location: Cheshire, Ohio  
 Tested By: PJM  
 Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Material: FILL : Hard brown, dark-brown and gray silty clay, little fine to coarse sand.

Date: 5/7-8/2009  
 Boring: BAP-0905  
 Sample: ST-14 Sec. II  
 Depth: 20.5' to 22.0'

Maximum Dry Density: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Optimum Moisture Content: \_\_\_\_\_  
 % Compaction: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Optimum +/-: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Natural: X  
 Remolded: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample:

Initial Length: 2.8858 in = 7.330 cm  
 Final Ave. Length (L): 2.9026 in = 7.373 cm  
 Diameter: 2.8760 in = 7.31 cm  
 Area (A): 6.496 sq in = 41.91 sq cm  
 Volume (V): 18.747 cu in = 307.21 cu cm  
 Wet Wt.: 640.82 grams  
 Unit Wet Wt.: 130.23 pcf  
 Unit Dry Wt.: 107.97 pcf

Test Conditions:

Chamber Pressure: 62 psi  
 Back Pressure: 58 psi  
 Confining Pressure: 4 psi  
 Temp. @ Start: 22.5 °C  
 Temp. @ End: 22.2 °C  
 Average Temp.: 22.4 °C  
 B Parameter: 0.96

Moisture Content:

	Before Test	After Test
Pan No. =	H	H
Wet Wt. + Pan =	640.82	646.05
Dry Wt. + Pan =	531.29	531.29
Wt. of Pan =		
Wt. of Dry Soil =	531.29	531.29
Wt. of Water =	109.53	114.76
% Moisture =	20.62	21.60

Pipette Pressures During Test:

Top Pipette: 60 psi = 4220.3 cm  
 Bottom Pipette: 58 psi = 4079.6 cm

**% SATURATION**      **99.18**      **102.26**

S.G. (est) = 2.7000

Pipette:

Area (a): 0.3435 sq in = 0.8725 sq cm

Calculations:

$$k = \frac{a \cdot L}{2 \cdot A \cdot \Delta t} \ln \left( \frac{h_1}{h_2} \right)$$

where: k = Hydraulic Conductivity  
 a = Pipette Cross-Sectional Area  
 L = Length of Sample  
 A = Sample Cross-Sectional Area

$\Delta t$  = Time Interval ( $t_2 - t_1$ )  
 $h_1$  = Head Loss Across Permeameter/Specimen at  $t_1$   
 $h_2$  = Head Loss Across Permeameter/Specimen at  $t_2$   
 ln = Natural Logarithm (Base e = 2.71828)

Date	Time Readings	Time Interval $\Delta t$ Seconds	Top Pipette cc	Hydraulic Head Headwater $H_1$ cm	Bottom Pipette cc	Hydraulic Head Tailwater $H_2$ cm	Head Loss $h = H_1 - H_2$ cm	$\ln (h_1/h_2)$	Temp. Corr. Permeability k cm/sec
5/7/2009	10:09 AM	0.00	49.20	4091.22	1.10	4287.03	-195.81	-	-
5/7/2009	11:10 AM	3,660	49.10	4091.33	1.20	4286.91	-195.58	0.00117	2.322E-08
5/7/2009	1:44 PM	9,240	48.80	4091.68	1.50	4286.57	-194.89	0.00352	2.766E-08
5/7/2009	2:50 PM	3,960	48.65	4091.85	1.60	4286.45	-194.60	0.00147	2.696E-08
5/7/2009	4:19 PM	5,340	48.50	4092.02	1.80	4286.22	-194.20	0.00206	2.804E-08
5/8/2009	8:22 AM	57,780	47.00	4093.74	3.50	4284.28	-190.54	0.01907	2.394E-08

**Time Weighted Average, k [cm/sec] = 2.476E-08**

# PERMEABILITY TEST DATA AND COMPUTATION SHEET

((ASTM D-5084) FALLING HEAD, METHOD C)



Job Number: 011.11497.014                      Date: 5/18-19/2009                      Maximum Dry Density: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Name: Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation                      Boring: BAP-0901                      Optimum Moisture Content: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Location: Cheshire, Ohio                      Sample: ST-13 Sec. I                      % Compaction: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tested By: PJM                      Depth: 19.5' to 21.5'                      Optimum +/-: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_                      Natural: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Material: **FILL: Stiff to hard brown mottled with gray and dark-brown silty clay, trace fine to coarse sand.**                      Remolded: \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Sample:</u>	<u>Test Conditions:</u>	<u>Moisture Content:</u>	Before Test	After Test
Initial Length: <u>5.6045 in = 14.235 cm</u>	Chamber Pressure: <u>62 psi</u>	Pan No. =		
Final Ave. Length (L): <u>5.5595 in = 14.121 cm</u>	Back Pressure: <u>58 psi</u>	Wet Wt. + Pan =	1217.04	1217.79
Diameter: <u>2.8725 in = 7.30 cm</u>	Confining Pressure: <u>4 psi</u>	Dry Wt. + Pan =	994.93	994.93
Area (A): <u>6.481 sq in = 41.81 sq cm</u>	Temp. @ Start: <u>20.5 °C</u>	Wt. of Pan =		
Volume (V): <u>36.320 cu in = 595.18 cu cm</u>	Temp. @ End: <u>21.0 °C</u>	Wt. of Dry Soil =	994.93	994.93
Wet Wt.: <u>1217.04 grams</u>	Average Temp.: <u>20.8 °C</u>	Wt. of Water =	222.11	222.86
Unit Wet Wt.: <u>127.66 pcf</u>	B Parameter: <u>0.97</u>	% Moisture =	22.32	22.40
Unit Dry Wt.: <u>104.36 pcf</u>				
	<u>Pipette Pressures During Test:</u>	<b>% SATURATION</b>	<b>97.98</b>	<b>100.43</b>
	Top Pipette: <u>60 psi = 4220.3 cm</u>	S.G.(est) =	2.7000	
<u>Pipette:</u>	Bottom Pipette: <u>58 psi = 4079.6 cm</u>			
Area (a): <u>0.3435 sq in = 0.8725 sq cm</u>				

Calculations:

$$k = \frac{a \cdot L}{2 \cdot A \cdot \Delta t} \ln\left(\frac{h_1}{h_2}\right)$$

where:    k = Hydraulic Conductivity                      Δt = Time Interval (t<sub>2</sub> - t<sub>1</sub>)  
           a = Pipette Cross-Sectional Area                      h<sub>1</sub> = Head Loss Across Permeameter/Specimen at t<sub>1</sub>  
           L = Length of Sample                      h<sub>2</sub> = Head Loss Across Permeameter/Specimen at t<sub>2</sub>  
           A = Sample Cross-Sectional Area                      ln = Natural Logarithm (Base e = 2.71828)


Date	Time Readings	Time Interval Δt Seconds	Top Pipette cc	Hydraulic Head Headwater H <sub>1</sub> cm	Bottom Pipette cc	Hydraulic Head Tailwater H <sub>2</sub> cm	Head Loss h = H <sub>1</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> cm	ln (h <sub>1</sub> /h <sub>2</sub> )	Temp. Corr. Permeability k cm/sec
5/18/2009	9:19 AM	0.00	35.10	4107.38	36.25	4246.74	-139.36	-	-
5/18/2009	10:52 AM	5,580	34.95	4107.55	36.30	4246.68	-139.13	0.00165	4.270E-08
5/18/2009	2:32 PM	13,200	34.90	4107.61	36.40	4246.57	-138.96	0.00124	1.356E-08
5/18/2009	4:16 PM	6,240	34.80	4107.72	36.50	4246.45	-138.73	0.00165	3.829E-08
5/18/2009	7:40 PM	12,240	34.50	4108.07	36.55	4246.39	-138.33	0.00290	3.424E-08
5/19/2009	7:55 AM	44,100	34.30	4108.30	37.10	4245.76	-137.47	0.00623	2.046E-08

**Time Weighted Average, k [cm/sec] = 2.430E-08**

2009 falling Head Perm.xls, 011.11497.014 B-0901

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G'int Id.	MC	LL	PL	PI	GRADATION		COMPACTION		TRIAxIAL			DIRECT SHEAR			UNCOMPR. IN CONSOLID.	GRAVITY SPECIFIC	UNIT WEIGHT DRY	REMOULDED	PERMEABILITY			RELATIVE DENSITY	L O I	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R					
						Sieve	Hydrometer	Standard	Modified	undrained	drained	cuw/condrains	undrained	drained	residual					non-cohesive	fine	cohesive						flow	fall	flexible		
																															short	long
																															* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES	
BAP-0908	8.75	21								*							107.7															
BAP-0908	9.25	21								*							108.6															
BAP-0908	9.75	21								*							108.1															
BAP-0908	10.25	20	37	21	16																				*							
BAP-0908	14.25	19	34	19	15																											
BAP-0908	21.75	16																														
BAP-0908	24.50																									*						
BAP-0908	31.35	18	33	19	14																											
BAP-0908	39.25	21	30	17	13																											
BAP-0908	44.50																									*						
BAP-0909	6.25	28	49	26	23																											
BAP-0909	10.00																									*						
BAP-0909	11.75	24																														
BAP-0909	16.25	28									*																					
BAP-0909	16.75	27									*																					
BAP-0909	17.25	27									*																					
BAP-0909	17.75	27	34	21	13																					*						
BAP-0909	18.75	28	33	18	15																											
BAP-0909	26.25	42	33	19	14																											
BAP-0909	28.20	34	41	20	21																											



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD


PROJECT GAVIN PLANT FLY ASH DAM INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014 DATE 1/7/10

SUM REG 11497014.GPJ BBCM.GDT 1/7/10

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

BORING	G'int Id.	MC	LL	PL	PI	GRADATION		COMPACTION		TRIAxIAL			DIRECT SHEAR			UNCONSOLID. COMPRESSION	GRAVITY SPECIFIC	UNIT WEIGHT DRY	REMOULDED	PERMEABILITY			RELATIVE DENSITY	L O I	ROCK CORE	SHELLY TUBE	C B R		
						Sieve	Hydrometer	Standard	Modified	undrained	drained	cunw/ondrained	drained	undrain	residual					non cohesive	fine	cohesive						flow	flexible
		%	%	%	%																								
BAP-0910	8.75	24																											
BAP-0910	11.00																												
BAP-0910	15.75	24	31	16	15	*																							
BAP-0910	17.25	27									*																		
BAP-0910	17.75	26									*																		
BAP-0910	18.25	27									*																		
BAP-0910	18.75	28	24	19	5	*																							
BAP-0910	21.25	29	30	17	13	*																							
BAP-0910	24.25	31	32	17	15	*																							
BAP-0910	27.05	30	26	18	8	*																							
BAP-0910	30.25	35	40	21	19	*																							
BAP-0911	9.25	19	32	19	13																								
BAP-0911	11.00																												
BAP-0911	17.00																												
BAP-0911	18.75	26	35	19	16	*																							
BAP-0911	22.05	28	33	17	16	*																							
BAP-0911	26.25	30				*																							
BAP-0911	32.25	32				*																							


\* SEE INDIVIDUAL TEST CURVES



TESTING SUMMARY - STANDARD

PROJECT GAVIN PLANT FLY ASH DAM INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014 DATE 1/7/10


# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS



Specimen Id.	Pt. ID	MC	LL	PL	PI	.002mm	ASTM Classification
● BAP-0908	10.25	20	37	21	16	25.4	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊠ BAP-0908	14.25	19	34	19	15	25.6	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL

**PROJECT** \_\_\_\_\_ **GAVIN PLANT FLY ASH DAM INVESTIGATION** \_\_\_\_\_  
**LOCATION** \_\_\_\_\_ **CHESHIRE, OHIO** \_\_\_\_\_  
**JOB NO.** \_\_\_\_\_ **011.11497.014** \_\_\_\_\_ **DATE** \_\_\_\_\_ **1/7/10** \_\_\_\_\_

# ATTERBERG LIMITS' RESULTS




### Upper and Lower Alluvium

Specimen Id.	Pt. ID	MC	LL	PL	PI	.002mm	ASTM Classification	
●	BAP-0908	31.35	18	33	19	14	23.9	LEAN CLAY CL
⊗	BAP-0908	39.25	21	30	17	13	16.8	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
▲	BAP-0909	6.25	28	49	26	23	33.4	LEAN CLAY CL
★	BAP-0909	17.75	27	34	21	13	22.5	LEAN CLAY CL
⊙	BAP-0909	18.75	28	33	18	15	19.4	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊕	BAP-0909	26.25	42	33	19	14	18.5	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
○	BAP-0909	28.20	34	41	20	21	27.5	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
△	BAP-0910	15.75	24	31	16	15	19.3	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
⊗	BAP-0910	18.75	28	24	19	5	14.3	SANDY SILTY CLAY CL-ML
⊕	BAP-0910	21.25	29	30	17	13	18.1	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
□	BAP-0910	24.25	31	32	17	15	22.2	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
⊕	BAP-0910	27.05	30	26	18	8	15.9	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
⊕	BAP-0910	30.25	35	40	21	19	25.1	LEAN CLAY CL
☆	BAP-0911	9.25	19	32	19	13		
⊗	BAP-0911	18.75	26	35	19	16	21.0	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
■	BAP-0911	22.05	28	33	17	16	22.1	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL

<b>PROJECT</b>	GAVIN PLANT FLY ASH DAM INVESTIGATION		
<b>LOCATION</b>	CHESHIRE, OHIO		
<b>JOB NO.</b>	011.11497.014	<b>DATE</b>	1/7/10






BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY				
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf	
● GV-BAP-0908	S-4 IV 8.5' to 10.2'		LEAN CLAY with SAND CL			20	37	21	16	
Specimen Identification										
Classification										
Specimen Identification										
D100										
D95										
D60										
D50										
D10										
MC%										
%Gravel										
%Sand										
%Silt										
%Clay										
● GV-BAP-0908 S-4 IV 8.5' to 10.2'										
19.0000										
0.1722										
0.0248										
0.0140										
1.5										
13.9										
59.2										
25.4										

PROJECT: GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION: CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO.: 011.11497.014  
 DATE: 1/28/10

**ASTM D422 GRADATION CURVE**

**ASTM D422**






BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY							
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf		
●GV-BAP-0908	S-13A	31.0'	to 31.7'											

Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay
●GV-BAP-0908 S-13A 31.0' to 31.7'	2.0000	0.1381	0.0226	0.0143	0.0	0.0	10.9	65.3	23.9

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
JOB NO. 011.11497.014      DATE 1/28/10






BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf
● GV-BAP-0909 S-4 5.5' to 6.4'						28	49	26	23		
Classification <b>LEAN CLAY CL</b>											
Specimen Identification											
Specimen Identification											
	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● GV-BAP-0909 S-4 5.5' to 6.4'	4.7500	0.3306	0.0079	0.0052		0.0	13.2	53.4	33.4		

PROJECT **GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION**  
 LOCATION **CHESHIRE, OHIO**  
 JOB NO. **011.11497.014** DATE **1/28/10**

**ASTM D422 GRADATION CURVE**



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf
● GV-BAP-0909 S-7	11.0' to 12.5'					24					
Specimen Identification											
Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay		
● GV-BAP-0909 S-7	11.0' to 12.5'	0.1255	0.0230	0.0149		0.0	9.7	68.5	21.8		

ASTM D422


GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO

JOB NO. 011.11497.014

DATE 1/28/10



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY		
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	opt mc% max pcf

Specimen Identification	Classification			MC%	LL	PL	PI	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
●GV-BAP-0909 S-10 IV 16.0' to 18.0'	LEAN CLAY CL			27	34	21	13	8.3	69.2	22.5

Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
●GV-BAP-0909 S-10 IV 16.0' to 18.0'	2.0000	0.1147	0.0192	0.0133	0.0133	0.0	8.3	69.2	22.5

**ASTM D422**      **GRADATION CURVE**      PROJECT GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 LOCATION CHESHIRE, OHIO  
 JOB NO. 011.11497.014      DATE 1/28/10








HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES  
3 2 1.5 1 3/4 1/2



PERCENT FINER BY WEIGHT

GRAIN SIZE IN MILLIMETERS

BOULDERS	COBBLES		GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY		
	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	opt mc%

Specimen Identification		Classification										
●	GV-BAP-0909 S-15 25.5' to 26.6'	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL										
		MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf					
		42	33	19	14							


Specimen Identification		D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay
●	GV-BAP-0909 S-15 25.5' to 26.6'	4.7500	0.1852	0.0329	0.0211		0.0	21.6	59.9	18.5

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014 DATE 1/28/10



BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY			
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	PL	PI	opt mc% max pcf	
●GV-BAP-0909 S-16C 27.9' to 28.5'						34	41	20	21
Classification: LEAN CLAY with SAND CL									
Specimen Identification									
Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
●GV-BAP-0909 S-16C 27.9' to 28.5'	2.0000	0.1596	0.0148	0.0087	0.0087	0.0	15.0	57.5	27.5

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE


PROJECT LOCATION JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014

DATE 1/28/10







BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf
● GV-BAP-0910 S-12 20.5' to 22.0'			SANDY LEAN CLAY CL			29	30	17	13		
Specimen Identification			Classification								
Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay		
● GV-BAP-0910 S-12 20.5' to 22.0'	2.0000	0.2064	0.0469	0.0265		0.0	31.8	50.1	18.1		

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION JOB NO.

GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION CHESHIRE, OHIO 011.11497.014


DATE 1/28/10



HYDROMETER

U.S. SIEVE NUMBERS

U.S. SIEVE OPENING IN INCHES  
3 3/4 1 3/4 1/2



GRN-EPA

BOULDERS	COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY									
		coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf				
Specimen Identification ● GV-BAP-0910 S-14 23.5' to 25.0' LEAN CLAY with SAND CL																
Specimen Identification ● GV-BAP-0910 S-14 23.5' to 25.0'																
D100	2.0000	D95	0.2273	D60	0.0335	D50	0.0173	D10	0.0	%Gravel	27.9	%Sand	49.9	%Silt	22.2	%Clay

ASTM D422

GRADATION CURVE

PROJECT LOCATION  
JOB NO.


GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO  
011.11497.014


DATE 1/28/10














BOULDERS	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY					
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	MC%	LL	PL	PI	opt mc%	max pcf
● GV-BAP-0911 S-16 25.5' to 27.0'						30					
Specimen Identification											
Specimen Identification											

Specimen Identification	D100	D95	D60	D50	D10	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay
	● GV-BAP-0911 S-16 25.5' to 27.0'	2.0000	0.2081	0.0891	0.0643	0.0	47.1	40.6	12.3

<b>ASTM D422</b>	<b>GRADATION CURVE</b>	<b>PROJECT</b> GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION
		<b>LOCATION</b> CHESHIRE, OHIO
		<b>JOB NO.</b> 011.11497.014 <b>DATE</b> 1/28/10



JOB NUMBER : 011.11497.014

PROJECT : GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION : CHESHIRE, OHIO



LABORATORY LOG OF SHELBY TUBES

Boring : <b>GV-BAP-0908</b>	Sample : <b>4</b>	Boring : <b>GV-BAP-0908</b>	Sample : <b>10</b>	Boring : <b>GV-BAP-0908</b>	Sample : <b>21</b>
Depth : <b>8.5' to 10.2'</b>	Recovery : <b>20.50"</b>	Depth : <b>23.5' to 25.4'</b>	Recovery : <b>20.50"</b>	Depth : <b>43.5' to 45.5'</b>	Recovery : <b>21.00"</b>

LEGEND

- Consolidation, Incremental
- Consolidation, CRS
- Permeability, Vertical / Horizontal
- Swelling, Test
- Unconfined Compression Test
- Triaxial Compression Test
- Max
- H - Hand Penetrometer (tsf)
- Ds - Direct Shear
- LOI - Loss on Ignition
- AL - Atterberg Limits
- MA - Sieve/Hydrometer
- SG - Specific Gravity
- SL - Shrinkage Limit
- POR - Porosity
- UDW - Unit Dry Weight
- MC - Moisture Content
- DR - Relative Density
- S - Sieve

JOB NUMBER : 011.11497.014

PROJECT : GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION

LOCATION : CHESHIRE, OHIO



LABORATORY LOG OF SHELBY TUBES

Boring : GV-BAP-0909	Sample : 6	Boring : GV-BAP-0909	Sample : 10	Boring : GV-BAP-0910	Sample : 6
Depth : 9.0' to 11.0'	Recovery : 22.75"	Depth : 16.0' to 18.0'	Recovery : 22.50"	Depth : 10.0' to 12.0'	Recovery : 23.00"

LEGEND

- Consolidation, Incremental
- Consolidation, CRS
- Permeability, Vertical / Horizontal
- Swelling, Test
- Unconfined Compression Test
- Triaxial Compression Test
- Max
- H - Hand Penetrometer (tsf)
- Ds - Direct Shear
- LOI - Loss on Ignition
- AL - Atterberg Limits
- MA - Sieve/Hydrometer
- SG - Specific Gravity
- SL - Shrinkage Limit
- POR - Porosity
- UDW - Unit Dry Weight
- MC - Moisture Content
- DR - Relative Density
- S - Sieve

JOB NUMBER : 011.11497.014

PROJECT : GAVIN PLANT BOTTOM ASH POND INVESTIGATION




LOCATION : CHESHIRE, OHIO



### LABORATORY LOG OF SHELBY TUBES



Boring : **GV-BAP-0910** Sample : **10** Boring : **GV-BAP-0911** Sample : **7** Boring : **GV-BAP-0911** Sample : **10**

Depth : **17.0' to 19.0'** Recovery : **23.00"** Depth : **10.5' to 11.5'** Recovery : **10.50"** Depth : **16.0' to 17.6'** Recovery : **18.00"**



### LEGEND

- Consolidation, Incremental
- Consolidation, CRS
- Permeability, Vertical / Horizontal
- Swelling, Test
- Unconfined Compression Test
- Triaxial Compression Test
- Max
- Hand Penetrometer (tsf)
- Direct Shear
- Loss on Ignition
- Atterberg Limits
- Sieve/Hydrometer
- Specific Gravity
- Shrinkage Limit
- Porosity
- Unit Dry Weight
- Moisture Content
- Relative Density



Sample No.	1	2	3	
Initial	Water Content, %	20.7	20.7	20.6
	Dry Density, pcf	107.7	108.5	108.1
	Saturation, %	95.8	97.7	96.4
	Void Ratio	0.5933	0.5817	0.5884
	Diameter, in.	2.88	2.87	2.88
At Test	Height, in.	5.60	5.59	5.59
	Water Content, %	21.6	20.3	19.0
	Dry Density, pcf	108.4	111.5	113.3
	Saturation, %	101.8	103.5	101.4
	Void Ratio	0.5842	0.5393	0.5148
Strain rate, in./min.	Diameter, in.	2.87	2.85	2.84
	Height, in.	5.60	5.53	5.48
	0.01	0.02	0.02	
	Eff. Cell Pressure, ksf	0.7	3.6	7.2
	Fail. Stress, ksf	4.7	7.4	9.8
	Total Pore Pr., ksf	7.2	9.3	11.9
	Strain, %	20.0	15.2	19.9
	Ult. Stress, ksf	4.7	7.0	9.8
	Total Pore Pr., ksf	7.2	9.4	11.9
	Strain, %	20.0	20.2	20.4
$\bar{\sigma}_1$ Failure, ksf	6.9	10.3	14.1	
$\bar{\sigma}_3$ Failure, ksf	2.1	2.9	4.3	

**Type of Test:**  
 CU with Pore Pressures

**Sample Type:** Shelby Tube

**Description:** FILL : Very-stiff brown and dark-brown silty clay, little fine to coarse

**LL= 37      PL= 21      PI= 16**

**Assumed Specific Gravity= 2.75**

**Remarks:** ASTM : LEAN CLAY with SAND  
 CL

**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
 CHESHIRE, OHIO

**Location:** GV-BAP-0908






**Sample Number:** S-4 I,II,III      **Depth:** 8.5' to 10.2'

**Proj. No.:** 011.11497.014      **Date Sampled:** 12/21-29/09



**TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT**  
 BBC&M Engineering, Inc.  
 Dublin, Ohio

Figure 1 **CU-2**





**Client:** AEP  
**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
**Location:** GV-BAP-0908      **Depth:** 8.5' to 10.2'      **Sample Number:** S-4 I,II,III  
**Project No.:** 011.11497.014      **Figure 2**      **BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**



Sample No.	1	2	3	
Initial	Water Content, %	28.1	27.3	27.5
	Dry Density, pcf	95.4	96.5	97.2
	Saturation, %	96.8	96.1	98.6
	Void Ratio	0.7996	0.7798	0.7667
	Diameter, in.	2.86	2.87	2.86
	Height, in.	5.60	5.59	5.60
At Test	Water Content, %	28.2	27.2	25.2
	Dry Density, pcf	97.2	99.3	103.2
	Saturation, %	101.1	102.7	104.4
	Void Ratio	0.7661	0.7294	0.6638
	Diameter, in.	2.84	2.85	2.80
	Height, in.	5.56	5.52	5.49
Strain rate, in./min.	0.02	0.02	0.02	
Eff. Cell Pressure, ksf	2.16	4.32	8.64	
Fail. Stress, ksf	4.32	4.52	6.64	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	6.12	8.42	11.52	
Strain, %	13.9	11.2	9.2	
Ult. Stress, ksf	4.19	4.29	6.24	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	5.96	8.46	11.17	
Strain, %	20.2	20.3	20.4	
$\bar{\sigma}_1$ Failure, ksf	6.11	6.17	9.52	
$\bar{\sigma}_3$ Failure, ksf	1.80	1.66	2.88	

**Type of Test:**

CU with Pore Pressures

**Sample Type:** Shelby Tube

**Description:** Medium-stiff to stiff brown mottled with dark-brown silty clay, trace

LL= 34      PL= 21      PI= 13

**Assumed Specific Gravity=** 2.75

**Remarks:** ASTM : LEAN CLAY CL

**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO

**Location:** GV-BAP-0909

**Sample Number:** S-10 I,II,III

**Proj. No.:** 011.11497.014

**Date Sampled:** 12/9-16/09






TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT

BBC&M Engineering, Inc.

Dublin, Ohio

Figure 1

**CU-3**



**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION

**Location:** GV-BAP-0909



**Depth:** 16.0' to 18.0'

**Sample Number:** S-10 I,II,III

**Project No.:** 011.11497.014

**Figure 2**

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**



Sample No.	1	2	3	
Initial	Water Content, %	26.9	25.9	27.1
	Dry Density, pcf	87.6	97.6	94.5
	Saturation, %	80.2	98.6	95.8
	Void Ratio	0.8877	0.6946	0.7511
	Diameter, in.	2.90	2.87	2.91
	Height, in.	5.61	5.62	5.57
At Test	Water Content, %	24.3	21.3	21.5
	Dry Density, pcf	98.7	104.7	104.0
	Saturation, %	95.1	97.0	96.4
	Void Ratio	0.6770	0.5808	0.5914
	Diameter, in.	2.77	2.80	2.80
	Height, in.	5.46	5.53	5.47
Strain rate, in./min.	0.01	0.02	0.02	
Eff. Cell Pressure, ksf	2.9	5.8	11.5	
Fail. Stress, ksf	3.4	6.0	9.7	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	7.4	9.2	14.4	
Strain, %	17.5	17.0	9.7	
Ult. Stress, ksf	3.3	5.9	6.8	
Total Pore Pr., ksf	7.4	9.1	15.5	
Strain, %	20.6	20.3	20.4	
$\bar{\sigma}_1$ Failure, ksf	4.6	8.3	12.6	
$\bar{\sigma}_3$ Failure, ksf	1.2	2.4	2.9	

**Type of Test:**

CU with Pore Pressures

**Sample Type:** Shelby Tube

**Description:** Soft to medium-stiff brown clayey silt, "and" fine sand, trace medium

LL= 28      PL= 23      PI= 5

**Assumed Specific Gravity=** 2.65

**Remarks:** ASTM : SANDY SILTY CLAY  
CL-ML

**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION  
CHESHIRE, OHIO

**Location:** GV-BAP-0910

**Sample Number:** S-10 I,II,III






**Proj. No.:** 011.11497.014      **Date Sampled:** 12/17-28/09

**TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT**

BBC&M Engineering, Inc.  
Dublin, Ohio

Figure 1

**CU-4**



**Client:** AEP

**Project:** GAVIN PLANT ASH POND INVESTIGATION

**Location:** GV-BAP-0910

**Depth:** 17.0' to 19.0'

**Sample Number:** S-10 I,II,III



**Project No.:** 011.11497.014

**Figure 2**

**BBC&M Engineering, Inc.**

## **Appendix IV – Shear Strength Parameter Justification**



Images: ~Gavin Aerial.jpg ~Gavin Site Plan.JPG  
 Xrefs: ~Gavin Ash Pond.dwg  
 File Last Updated: Jun 03, 2009  
 Plot Info: 6-4-2009 @ 9:19am By: MRomanello  
 BBCE&M Filename: I:\DEPT\SCADD\Drawings\Projects\011-11497-014\BASE.dwg Layout: Sec A-B



DATUM: NAD27 / NGVD 29

SUBSURFACE SECTIONS A & B		
Gavin Plan Ash Pond Investigation Cheshire, Ohio		
Project: 011-11497-014	Drawn By: MTR	 Columbus (614) 793-2226 Cleveland (216) 901-1000 Cincinnati (513) 771-8471 Dayton (937) 424-1011
Drawing Date: 5-5-2009	Approved By: MGR	
Last Updated: 6-3-2009	Scale: 1" = 30'	

Images: ~Gavin\_Aerial.jpg ~Gavin\_Site\_Plan.JPG  
 Xrefs: ~Gavin\_Ash\_Pond.dwg  
 File Last Updated: Jun 03, 2009  
 Plot Info: 6-4-2009 @ 9:21am By: MRomanello  
 BBCE&M Filename: I:\DEPT\SCADD\Drawings\Projects\011-11497-014\EAglePoint\011-11497-014 BASE.dwg Layout: Sec C-D



DATUM: NAD27 / NGVD 29

SUBSURFACE SECTIONS C & D		
Gavin Plan Ash Pond Investigation Chesire, Ohio		
Project: 011-11497-014	Drawn By: MTR	 Columbus (614) 793-2226 Cleveland (216) 901-1000 Cincinnati (513) 771-8471 Dayton (937) 424-1011
Drawing Date: 5-5-2009	Approved By: MGR	
Last Updated: 6-3-2009	Scale: 1" = 30'	
1:1		



Layer: EMBANKMENT FILL

BORING NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	LIQUID LIMIT %	PLASTIC LIMIT %	PLASTIC INDEX %	GRAVEL %	SAND %	SILT %	CLAY .002 mm %	SILT/CLAY %	USCS CLASSIFICATION
BAP-0901	4.75	19									
BAP-0901	7.5	20	41	22	19	0	8	65	27	92	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	12.75	23	48	23	25						
BAP-0901	17.25	22	53	25	28	0	7	61	32	93	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0901	19.75	21	42	22	20	0	9	59	32	91	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	20.5	22									
BAP-0901	26.75	16	34	17	17	0	14	60	26	86	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0902	7.75	20	41	20	21						
BAP-0903	4.75	23	38	21	17						
BAP-0903	5.6										
BAP-0903	8.25	20									
BAP-0903	11.25	22	42	21	21						
BAP-0903	15.75	23	52	24	28	0	6	57	37	94	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0903	21.75	21	30	18	12						
BAP-0903	27.75	19	41	22	19						
BAP-0903	34.25	19	44	22	22	0	12	58	30	88	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	4.75	18	38	20	18						
BAP-0905	12.25	20	43	23	20	0	4	63	32	95	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	15.25	22	44	24	20						
BAP-0905	19.75	21									
BAP-0905	21.25	21	40	23	17	0	11	58	31	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	26.25	18	39	19	20	0	11	59	30	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	29.25	20									
BAP-0907	4.75	22	41	21	20						
BAP-0907	9.25	17	34	18	16						
BAP-0907	13.75	18	32	16	16	0	24	54	22		LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0907	17.75	20	35	20	15	0	19.4	41.8	38.8		LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0907	26.25	20	41	20	21						
BAP-0907	34.25	19	52	24	28	2	10	55	34		FAT CLAY CH

Sample Size	29	28	23	23	23	12	12	12	12	9	
Minimum	4.75	16	30	16	12	0	4	42	22	86	
Maximum	34.25	23	53	25	28	2	24	65	39	95	
Mean	16.59	20	41.1	21	20	0	11	58	31	91	
Median	15.75	20	41.0	21	20	0	11	59	32	91	
Mode	4.75	20	41.0	22	20	0	11	59	32	89	
Std Dev	-	1.8	6.1	2.4	4.1	0.6	5.7	5.8	4.6	3.0	

Layer: ALLUVIUM SILT AND CLAY

BORING NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	GRAVEL	SAND	SILT	CLAY .002 mm	SILT/CLAY	USCS CLASSIFICATION
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
BAP-0901	31.75	18	38	20	18	0	32	51	17	68	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	34.25	15	29	18	11	0	32	51	17	68	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	39.25	18	26	18	8	0	23	62	15	77	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0901	44.25	23	30	21	9	0	23	62	15	77	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0901	46.75	25	24	19	5	0	23	62	15	77	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0901	49.45	29	24	19	5	0	23	62	15	77	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0902	11.75	18	35	20	15	0	11	67	22	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0902	19.25	22	32	21	11	0	11	67	22	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0902	24.25	24	32	21	11	0	38	62	22	62	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0902	26.75	30	21	16	5	0	38	62	22	62	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0903	41.75	24	53	22	31	0	7	59	34	93	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0903	46.75	22	38	19	19	0	7	59	34	93	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0903	51.75	25	24	19	5	0	7	59	34	93	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0903	56.75	25	35	19	16	0	13	62	25	87	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0904	4.75	24	44	22	22	0	4	62	34	96	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0904	7.75	30	43	26	17	0	4	62	34	96	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0904	11.75	23	42	20	22	0	4	62	34	96	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0904	16.75	26	42	20	22	0	4	62	34	96	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0904	19.25	28	30	20	10	0	24	62	25	87	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0904	24.25	29	32	19	13	0	18	62	25	87	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0904	29.25	29	32	19	13	0	18	62	25	87	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0904	34.25	29	32	19	13	0	18	62	25	87	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0905	31.75	17	29	18	11	0	43	47	19	66	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	34.25	19	28	18	10	0	34	47	19	66	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	36.75	19	28	18	10	0	34	47	19	66	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	43.75	25	42	21	21	0	4	69	28	97	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	49.25	28	38	22	16	0	4	69	28	97	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0906	6.25	18	35	20	15	0	25	54	21	75	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0906	9.25	23	45	22	23	0	25	54	21	75	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0906	14.25	25	33	21	12	0	25	54	21	75	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0906	19.25	27	34	21	13	0	13	65	22	87	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	39.25	21	47	24	23	0	8	61	32	93	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	44.75	19	40	20	20	0	8	61	32	93	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	47.25	18	31	17	14	0	31	49	20	69	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	51.75	20	32	18	14	0	31	49	20	69	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	56.75	24	31	18	13	0	31	49	20	69	SANDY LEAN CLAY CL

Sample Size	36	33	31	31	31	17	17	12	12	17	17
Minimum	4.75	15	21	16	5	0	4	47	15	57	57
Maximum	56.75	30	53	26	31	0	43	69	34	97	97
Mean	32.14	23	34.6	20	15	0	21	59	24	79	79
Median	34.25	24	33.0	20	14	0	23	62	22	77	77
Mode	34.25	18	32.0	20	13	0	13	62	22	-	-
Std Dev	-	4.2	7.4	2.1	6.0	0.0	12.4	7.2	6.5	12.6	12.6

Layer: LO-MDE SAND / GRAVEL

BORING NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	GRAVEL	SAND	SILT	CLAY	SILT/CLAY	USCS CLASSIFICATION
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	.002 mm	%	
BAP-0901	51.35					0	41			59	POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL SP
BAP-0901	54.25					36	59			5	POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL SP
BAP-0902	31.75					20	75			4	POORLY GRADED SAND with GRAVEL SP
BAP-0905	54.25					0	41			59	
BAP-0906	29.25					0	56			44	

Sample Size	5	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	5	
Minimum	29	-	-	-	-	0	41	-	-	4	
Maximum	54	-	-	-	-	36	75	-	-	59	
Mean	44	-	-	-	-	11	54	-	-	34	
Median	51	-	-	-	-	0	56	-	-	44	
Mode	54.25	-	-	-	-	0	41	-	-	59	
Std Dev	12.6	-	-	-	-	16.3	14.2	-	-	27.8	

The shear strength values estimated from the following correlations were updated based on results of consolidated-undrained triaxial tests performed as part of the 2010 Follow-Up Investigation.

ONLY DRAINED STRENGTH PARAMETERS ARE REQUIRED FOR STABILITY ANALYSIS SINCE POND IN SERVICE SINCE 1974

+ DRAINED STRENGTH PARAMETERS

FOR EMBANKMENT FILL & ALLUVIUM LAYERS, ESTIMATE THE EFFECTIVE ANGLE OF INTERNAL FRICTION,  $\phi'$ , FROM THE FOLLOWING METHODS:

- 1) CORRELATIONS TO LL, CLAY SIZED FRACTION, AND OVERBURDEN STRESS DEVELOPED BY STARK ET AL. FOR FULLY SOFTENED FRICTION ANGLE.
- 2) RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  $\phi'$  AND PLASTICITY INDEX (TERZAGHI, PECK AND MESRI, 1996)
- 3) CORRELATION TO CLAY SIZED FRACTION FOR NORMALLY CONSOLIDATED CLAY (DISSERTATION BY G.A. HALL, WVU, 1974)  
WHERE  $\phi'_{NC} = 36 - 0.2665 (\% \text{ CLAY})$
- 4) FOR EMBANKMENT FILL ONLY, A SPT CU TRIAXIAL TEST WAS PERFORMED ON AN UNDISTURBED SAMPLE.
- 5) FOR FILL SOILS, ESTIMATE DRAINED STRENGTH VALUES FROM NAVFAC DESIGN MANUAL 7.2 USING TABLE 1 - 'TYPICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPACTED SOILS'

+ GRANULAR FOUNDATION LAYERS (GLACIAL OUTWASH SAND & GRAVEL)  
ESTIMATE  $\phi'$  BASED SPT CORRELATIONS AND GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

- 1)  $\phi' = \sqrt{15.4 (N_{60})} + 20^\circ$  (HANTANAKA AND UCHIDA, 1996)
- 2) COMPARE EQN 1) WITH TYPICAL VALUES ESTABLISHED BY SCHROEDER ET AL.

TABLE 7.1 Relative Density of Cohesionless Soils

Relative Density Designation	$\gamma_{moist}$	Approximate Relative Density, % (PLF)	$N_{60}$ Standard Penetration Resistance	Approximate Angle of Friction of Soil $\phi$ , degrees
Very loose	70-100	0-5	0-4	25-28
Loose	90-115	5-30	4-10	28-30
Medium	110-130	30-60	10-30	30-36
Dense	110-140	60-85	30-50	36-41
Very dense	130-150	>85	Over 50	>41

## + PERMEABILITY

### - EMBANKMENT FILL

PERMEABILITY BASED ON FLEX WALL PERMEABILITY TEST PERFORMED ON UNDISTURBED SAMPLE. ESTIMATE PERM. SLIGHTLY GREATER THAN TEST RESULTS TO ACCOUNT FOR PERM ON MACRO SCALE.

### - ALLUVIUM SILT & CLAY

ESTIMATE PERMEABILITY BASED ON TYPICAL PUBLISHED VALUES USING SOIL DESCRIPTIONS & GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

### - GRANULAR FOUNDATION LAYERS

ESTIMATE PERMEABILITY USING TYPICAL PUBLISHED VALUES BASED ON RELATIVE DENSITY AND GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

AS A GUIDE, USE  $K = (100 D_{10})^2 \mu/\text{SEC}$  (CM  $\times 10^{-4}/\text{SEC}$ )  
(HAZEN)

+ LAYER: COHESIVE EMBANKMENT FILL:

DESCRIPTION: STIFF TO HARD BROWN MOTTLED WITH GRAY SILTY CLAY,  
TRACE TO LITTLE FINE TO COARSE SAND, TR FINE GRAVEL

HAND PENETROMETER:  $H = 1.25$  TO  $4.5 +$  LSF

INDEX TESTING: 

	<u>MC</u>	<u>LL</u>	<u>PI</u>	<u>% CLAY (<math>\phi &lt; 0.002</math> mm)</u>
MOISTURE VALUES:	41	20	32	32

- STRENGTH PARAMETER:

- 1) STARK CORRELATION FOR SECANT FULLY SATURATED FRICTION ANGLE:

HEIGHT OF FILL RANGES BETWEEN 30 - 40 FT.

OBSERVATION WELL READINGS BELOW FILL. CONSIDER FAILURE SURFACES FROM 15' TO 40

$$\therefore \sigma'_{v0} = 15 \text{ FT} \times 125 \text{ pcf} = 1875 \text{ psf} = 90 \text{ kPa}$$

$$\sigma'_{v0} = 40 \text{ FT} \times 125 \text{ pcf} = 5000 \text{ psf} = 240 \text{ kPa}$$



✓ FOR CORRELATION, CONSIDER BOTH  $\sigma'_{v0} = 50 \text{ kPa}$  AND  $100 \text{ kPa}$  TO ACCOUNT FOR PROBABLE DEPTH OF FAILURE SURFACE

RESULTS:  $\phi'_{FS} = 29^\circ$  (SEE CORRELATION THIS APPENDIX)

- 2) USING GRAPH OF  $\phi'$  VERS PI, FOR PI = 20,  $\phi' = 31^\circ$   
(SEE GRAPH THIS APPENDIX)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 3) \phi'_{HC} &= 36 - 0.2665 (\% \text{ CLAY}) \\ &= 36 - 0.2665 (32\%) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ HALL THESIS}$$

$$\phi'_{HC} = 27.5^\circ$$

- 4) BASED ON RESULTS OF CU TRIAXIAL TEST,  $\phi' = 24.4^\circ$  &  $C' = 530 \text{ psf}$   
(SEE DISCUSSION IN TEXT; PLATES THROUGH OF APP.

- 5) NAVFAC TABLE 1. FOR INORGANIC CLAY FILL OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY  
 $\phi' = 28^\circ$  & COHESION (SATURATED) = 270 psf (SEE TABLE THIS APPENDIX)

DESIGN STRENGTH VALUE: BASED ON RESULTS, USE  $\phi' = 28$  AND  $C' = 100 \text{ psf}$

- PERMEABILITY: FROM FLEX WALL PERM TEST,  $K = 2.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm/SEC}$   
USE  $K_v = 1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm/s}$  TO ACCOUNT FOR PERMEABILITY ON A MACRO SCALE.  
ANISOTROPIC CONDITIONS: SET  $K_h/K_v = 5$  DUE TO STRATIFICATION AS A RESULT OF COMPACTING FILL IN LAYERS.

+ LAYER: ALLUVIUM SILT & CLAY

DESCRIPTION: STIFF TO HARD SILTY CLAY CONTAINING ZONES AND/OR THIN SEAMS OF

- 1) SOFT TO STIFF CLAYEY SILT
- 2) LOOSE SILT
- 3) VERY LOOSE TO LOOSE FINE TO MEDIUM SAND

HAND PENETROMETER: 1.0 - 4.5+ tsf on SILTY CLAY SAMPLES  
0.25 - 1.0 tsf on CLAYEY SILT SAMPLES

INDEX TESTING

	MC	LL	PI	% CLAY
MODAL VALUE	18	32	13	22

- STRENGTH PARAMETER

1) STARK CORRELATION

DEPTH OF ALLUVIUM LAYER RANGES FROM 30' - 58' BELOW CREST TO 0 TO 35' BELOW GROUND SURFACE @ TWE.

CONSIDER BOTH  $T_v' = 100 \text{ KPa}$  &  $T_v' = 400 \text{ KPa}$  FOR STRENGTH CORRELATIONS.

RESULTS:  $\phi'_{FS} = 28^\circ$  (SEE CORRELATION THIS APPENDIX)

2) TERZAGHI, PECK & MESRI  $\phi'$  VRS PI GRAPH (SEE GRAPH THIS APPENDIX)

RESULTS  $\phi' = 32^\circ$

$$\begin{aligned} 3) \phi'_{NC} &= 36 - 0.2665 (\% \text{ CLAY}) \\ &= 36 - 0.2665 (22\%) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi'_{NC} = 30^\circ \quad \left. \vphantom{\phi'_{NC}} \right\} \text{HALL THESIS}$$

DESIGN STRENGTH PARAMETER: BASED ON RESULTS FROM ALL 3 CORRELATION METHODS, USE  $\phi' = 29^\circ$

- PERMEABILITY: USE  $K_v = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s}$  BASED ON PRESENCE OF COARSE GRAINED SEAMS/ZONES

ANISOTROPIC CONDITIONS: SET  $K_H/K_v = 2$  BASED ON NATURAL STRATIFICATION

+ LAYER: LOOSE TO MED. DENSE GLACIAL OUTWASH SAND & GRAVEL

- DESCRIPTION: LOOSE TO MED DENSE BROWN AND GRAY FINE TO COARSE SAND, TRACE TO SOME FINE TO COARSE GRAVEL TRACE SILT.

- N<sub>60</sub> RANGE:

		FRICTION ANGLE	
		FDN 72	TABLE 7.1
LOW	3	26.7°	27°-28°
HIGH	46	46.6°	40°-41°
AVG	15	35°	31°-32°

USE  $\phi' = 32^\circ$

- PERMEABILITY: D<sub>10</sub> SIZE ON SIEVED SAMPLES: D<sub>10</sub> = 0.1686  
0.2333  
< 0.075  
< 0.0848

USING HAZEN CORRELATION,

$$K = (100 \cdot 0.2333)^2 \text{ m/sec} = 5.4 \text{ E}^{-2} \text{ cm/sec}$$

$$K = (100 \cdot 0.1686)^2 \text{ m/sec} = 2.8 \text{ E}^{-2} \text{ cm/sec}$$

USE  $K_v = 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm/sec}$  ✓

+ LAYER: ROADWAY FILL

- DESCRIPTION: GRANULAR ROADWAY BASE FOR SECTION B (NO SAMPLING)

FOR SECTION A, 2-3' OF GRANULAR ROADWAY BASE OVER VERY DENSE GRAY & GRAY-BLACK SAND "AND" SILT. \*

\* LAYER EXTRAPOLATED AS FILL MATERIAL BENEATH RR EMBANKMENT.

N<sub>60</sub> RANGE: 31 - 105

FROM NAVFAC TABLE 1,  $\phi' = 34^\circ$ ,  $c' = 0$ ,  $K = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/sec}$   
FOR SILTY SANDS  
(SEE TABLE THIS APPENDIX)



Project No: 011-11497-014  
 Project: Gavin Plant Bottom Ash Pond Investigation

Date: 5/29/09

**Reference:**

Drained Shear Strength Parameters for Analysis of Landslides. Timothy D. Stark; Hangseok Choi; and Sean McCone. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, May 2005. pp 575 - 588

**Purpose:**

Estimate effective stress, or drained, shear strength parameters of cohesive soils through empirical correlations using laboratory index testing and the effective normal stress. Secant residual and secant fully softened friction angles can be estimated from charts developed by Stark et al.

**Laboratory Data**

Soil Layer: Embankment Fill

Statistical Results from 4 Borings

	<u>PI</u>	<u>LL</u>	<u>MC</u>	<u>% Passing #200 Sieve (.075 mm)</u>	<u>Clay Sized Fraction (.002 mm)</u>
Number in Statistical Sample	22	22	27	9	11
Minimum	12	30	16	86	22
Maximum	28	53	23	95	37
Mean	20.2	41.4	20.2	90.8	30.3
Median	20	41	20	91	31
Mode	20	41	20	89	32
Std Dev	4.1	6.1	1.9	3.0	4.1
<i>Design Value</i>	20	41	-	-	32

**Adjustment Factor for ASTM Derived Values**

$$\frac{\text{ball-milled derived LL}}{\text{ASTM derived LL}} = .003 (\text{ASTM derived LL}) + 1.23$$

$$\begin{aligned} LL_{ASTM} &= 41 \\ LL_{BM} &= 55.5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\text{ball-milled derived CF}}{\text{ASTM derived CF}} = 0.0003 (\text{ASTM derived CF})^2 - 0.037 (\text{ASTM derived CF}) + 2.254$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{ASTM} &= 32 \\ CF_{BM} &= 44.1 \end{aligned}$$

where: LL = Liquid Limit  
 CF = Clay-sized Fraction

Soil Layer: Embankment Fill

LL<sub>BM</sub> = 55.5

CF<sub>BM</sub> = 44.1




Fig. 5. Secant fully softened friction angle relationships with liquid limit, clay-size fraction, and effective normal stress

**Secant Fully Softened Friction Angle**

		Effective Normal Stress	
		50 kPa	100 kPa
Clay Sized Fraction, %	24 ≤ CF ≤ 45	31°	28°
	≥ 50	-	-

<b>Design Friction Angle Value</b>	29°
------------------------------------	-----

Layer: EMBANKMENT FILL

For  $PI = 20$ ,  $\phi' = 31^\circ$




Figure 74. Relationship between  $\phi'$  and  $PI$  (Terzaghi, Peck, and Mesri, 1996).

Report No. FHWA-IF-02-034  
Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 5  
Evaluation of Soil and Rock Properties  
April, 2002

Project No: 011-11497-014  
 Project: Gavin Plant Bottom Ash Pond Investigation

Date: 5/29/09

**Reference:**

Drained Shear Strength Parameters for Analysis of Landslides. Timothy D. Stark; Hangseok Choi; and Sean McCone. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, May 2005. pp 575 - 588

**Purpose:**

Estimate effective stress, or drained, shear strength parameters of cohesive soils through empirical correlations using laboratory index testing and the effective normal stress. Secant residual and secant fully softened friction angles can be estimated from charts developed by Stark et al.

**Laboratory Data**

Soil Layer: Alluvium Silt and Clay

Statistical Results from 7 Borings

	<u>PI</u>	<u>LL</u>	<u>MC</u>	<u>% Passing #200 Sieve (.075 mm)</u>	<u>Clay Sized Fraction (.002 mm)</u>
Number in Statistical Sample	31	31	33	17	12
Minimum	5	21	15	57	15
Maximum	31	53	30	97	34
Mean	14.7	34.6	23.2	79	24.1
Median	14	33	24	77	22
Mode	13	32	18	-	22
Std Dev	6.0	7.4	4.2	12.6	6.5
<i>Design Value</i>	13	32	-	-	22

**Adjustment Factor for ASTM Derived Values**

$$\frac{\text{ball-milled derived LL}}{\text{ASTM derived LL}} = .003 (\text{ASTM derived LL}) + 1.23$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LL}_{\text{ASTM}} &= 32 \\ \text{LL}_{\text{BM}} &= 42.4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\text{ball-milled derived CF}}{\text{ASTM derived CF}} = 0.0003 (\text{ASTM derived CF})^2 - 0.037 (\text{ASTM derived CF}) + 2.254$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{CF}_{\text{ASTM}} &= 22 \\ \text{CF}_{\text{BM}} &= 34.9 \end{aligned}$$

where: LL = Liquid Limit  
 CF = Clay-sized Fraction

Soil Layer: Alluvium Silt and Clay

LL<sub>BM</sub> = 42.4

CF<sub>BM</sub> = 34.9



**Fig. 5.** Secant fully softened friction angle relationships with liquid limit, clay-size fraction, and effective normal stress

Clay Sized Fraction, %		Effective Normal Stress	
		100 kPa	400 kPa
24 ≤ CF ≤ 45		29°	26°
≥ 50		-	-
<b>Design Value</b>		28°	

Layer: ALLUVIUM SILT AND CLAY

For  $PI = 13$ ,  $\phi' = 32^\circ$




Figure 74. Relationship between  $\phi'$  and  $PI$  (Terzaghi, Peck, and Mesri, 1996).

Report No. FHWA-IF-02-034  
Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 5  
Evaluation of Soil and Rock Properties  
April, 2002

TABLE 1  
Typical Properties of Compacted Soils

Group Symbol	Soil Type	Range of Maximum Dry Unit Weight, pci	Range of Optimum Moisture, Percent	Typical Value of Compression		Typical Strength Characteristics				Typical Coefficient of Permeability ft./min.	Range of Subgrade Modulus k lbs/cu in.
				At 1.4 cal (20 psi)	At 3.6 cal (50 psi)	Cohesion (as compacted) psi	Cohesion (uncorrected) psi	$\beta$ (Effective Stress Envelope Degrees)	$\tan \phi$		
GM	Well graded clean gravels, gravel-sand mixture.	125 - 135	11 - 8	0.3	0.6	0	0	>38	>0.79	$5 \times 10^{-2}$	40 - 80
GP	Poorly graded clean gravels, gravel-sand mix	115 - 125	14 - 11	0.4	0.9	0	0	>37	>0.74	$10^{-1}$	30 - 60
GM	Silty gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt.	120 - 135	12 - 8	0.5	1.1	.....	.....	>34	>0.67	> $10^{-6}$	20 - 60
GC	Clayey gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-clay.	115 - 130	14 - 9	0.7	1.6	.....	.....	>31	>0.60	> $10^{-7}$	20 - 40
SM	Well graded clean sands, gravelly sands.	110 - 130	16 - 9	0.6	1.2	0	0	38	0.79	> $10^{-3}$	20 - 40
SP	Poorly graded clean sands, sand-gravel mix.	100 - 120	21 - 12	0.8	1.4	0	0	37	0.74	> $10^{-3}$	10 - 40
SM	Silty sands, poorly graded sand-silt mix.	110 - 125	16 - 11	0.8	1.6	1050	420	34	0.67	$5 \times 10^{-5}$	10 - 40
SM-SC	Sand-silt clay mix with slightly plastic fines.	110 - 130	15 - 11	0.8	1.4	1050	300	33	0.66	$2 \times 10^{-6}$	5 - 30
SC	Clayey sands, poorly graded sand-clay-mix.	105 - 125	19 - 11	1.1	2.2	1550	230	31	0.60	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	5 - 20
ML	Inorganic silts and clayey silts.	95 - 120	24 - 12	0.9	1.7	1400	190	32	0.62	> $10^{-5}$	15 or less
ML-CL	Mixture of inorganic silt and clay.	100 - 120	22 - 12	1.0	2.2	1350	460	32	0.62	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	.....
CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity.	95 - 120	24 - 12	1.3	2.5	1800	270	28	0.54	> $10^{-7}$	15 or less
OL	Organic silts and silt-clays, low plasticity.	80 - 100	33 - 21	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5 or less
ML	Inorganic clayey silts, elastic silts.	70 - 95	40 - 24	2.0	3.8	1500	420	25	0.47	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	10 or less
CH	Inorganic clays of high plasticity	75 - 105	36 - 19	2.6	3.9	2150	230	19	0.35	> $10^{-7}$	15 or less
OH	Organic clays and silty clays	65 - 100	43 - 21	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5 or less

Notes:

- All properties are for condition of "Standard Proctor" maximum density, except values of k and CBR which are for "modified Proctor" maximum density.
- Typical strength characteristics are for effective strength envelopes and are obtained from USBK data.
- Compression values are for vertical loading with complete lateral confinement.
- (-) indicates that typical property is greater than the value shown; (+) indicates insufficient data available for an estimate.


Roadway Fill

7.2-39

Embankment Fill

**USGS National Seismic Hazard Maps - 2008**

Peak Horizontal Acceleration with 2% Probability of Exceedence in 50 Years






## **Appendix V - Limit Equilibrium & Liquefaction Analysis**

Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis


- Section B (BAP-0903 and BAP-0904)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady State Seepage (Static)



Note: The 5 slip surfaces with the lowest factor of safety are shown




Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering

Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32



Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section B (BAP-0903 and BAP-0904)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady State Seepage (Static)
- Deep-seated circular failure






Note: The 5 slip surfaces with the lowest factors of safety are shown

Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering

Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32

Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section B (BAP-0903 and BAP-0904)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady State Seepage with Seismic Loading



Layer	$\gamma_m$	c	$\phi$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	1144 <sup>†</sup>	13.8 <sup>†</sup>
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	640 <sup>†</sup>	8.8 <sup>†</sup>
Lower Alluvium	125	200 <sup>†</sup>	14.4 <sup>†</sup>
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32


<sup>†</sup>Value represents 80% of total strength parameter

Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering



Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section B (BAP-0903 and BAP-0904)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady State Seepage (Static)
- Inboard Slope




Note: The 5 slip surfaces with the lowest factor of safety are shown

Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering

Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32

Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Stability Analysis
- Sudden Drawdown Load Case
- Typical Section
- Inboard Slope




Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32

Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'

BBCM Engineering

Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section A (BAP-0901 and BAP-0902)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady-State Seepage (Static)



Note: The 5 slip surface with the lowest factor of safety are shown.

Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Cohesive Fill	125	0	28
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32


Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'

BBCM Engineering



Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section A (BAP-0901 and BAP-0902)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady-State Seepage (Static)
- Deep-seated Circular Failure



Note: The 5 slip surface with the lowest factor of safety are shown.



Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering

Layer	$\gamma_m$	$c'$	$\phi'$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	100	32
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Cohesive Fill	125	0	28
Upper Alluvium	125	470	27.9
Lower Alluvium	125	0	34.5
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32

2010 ASSESSMENT

Gavin Plant Ash Pond Investigation  
Seepage and Slope Stability Analysis

- Section A (BAP-0901 and BAP-0902)
- Stability Analysis
- Steady-State Seepage with Seismic Loading



Layer	$\gamma_m$	c	$\phi$
	pcf	psf	degrees
Cohesive Embankment Fill	125	1144 <sup>†</sup>	13.8 <sup>†</sup>
Roadway Fill	125	0	34
Upper Alluvium	125	640 <sup>†</sup>	8.8 <sup>†</sup>
Lower Alluvium	125	200 <sup>†</sup>	14.4 <sup>†</sup>
Lo to MDe Glacial Outwash Sand/Gravel	120	0	32

<sup>†</sup>Value represents 80% of total strength parameter

Method: Spencer  
Scale: 1" = 50'  
BBCM Engineering

**Fine Grained Soil Liquefaction Screening**  
**Gavin Bottom Ash Pond**

Layer: EMBANKMENT FILL

BORING	SAMPLE DEPTH I.D.	NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	LIQUID LIMIT %	PLASTIC LIMIT %	PLASTIC INDEX %	GRAVEL %	SAND %	SILT %	CLAY .002 mm %	SILT/CLAY %	USCS CLASSIFICATION
BAP-0901	7.5	20	41	22	19	0	8	65	27	92	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	12.75	23	48	23	25						
BAP-0901	17.25	22	53	25	28	0	7	61	32	93	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0901	19.75	21	42	22	20	0	9	59	32	91	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0901	26.75	16	34	17	17	0	14	60	26	86	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0902	7.75	20	41	20	21						
BAP-0903	4.75	23	38	21	17						
BAP-0903	11.25	22	42	21	21						
BAP-0903	15.75	23	52	24	28	0	6	57	37	94	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0903	21.75	21	30	18	12						
BAP-0903	27.75	19	41	22	19						
BAP-0903	34.25	19	44	22	22	0	12	58	30	88	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	4.75	18	38	20	18						
BAP-0905	12.25	20	43	23	20	0	4	63	32	95	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	15.25	22	44	24	20						
BAP-0905	21.25	21	40	23	17	0	11	58	31	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0905	26.25	18	39	19	20	0	11	59	30	89	LEAN CLAY CL
BAP-0907	4.75	22	41	21	20						
BAP-0907	9.25	17	34	18	16						
BAP-0907	13.75	18	32	16	16	0	24	54	22	76	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0907	17.75	20	35	20	15	0	19	42	39	81	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL
BAP-0907	26.25	20	41	20	21						
BAP-0907	34.25	19	52	24	28	2	10	55	34	89	FAT CLAY CH
BAP-0908	14.25	19	34	19	15	0	16	59	26	85	LEAN CLAY with SAND CL

Fines Content and Plasticity Index Screening			
% Passing			Is Soil Sample Liquefiable (meets all three criteria)
LL < 35	0.005 < 15(*)	WC > 0.9LL	
No	No	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	No	No	No
No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	No	No	No
Yes	-	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	No	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	No	No	No
No	-	No	No
Yes	-	No	No
Yes	No	No	No
No	No	No	No
No	-	No	No
No	No	No	No
Yes	No	No	No

(\*) by comparison to % Passing .002 mm